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Non-GAAP still matters

In May 2016, the SEC staff issued updated Compliance & Disclosure Interpretations (C&DI) that provide additional guidance about how companies are allowed to use non-GAAP financial measures, specifically listing prohibited practices. Today, the SEC staff is actively commenting to companies about their use of non-GAAP financial measures in communications and financial reporting. The majority of these comments are intended to point companies to the C&DI to remind them of their obligation to comply with SEC rules and interpretations.

Though it would be logical to expect a decrease in the number of non-GAAP financial measures following the SEC’s crackdown, as companies shy away from potential noncompliance, the opposite has proven to be true. Non-GAAP financial measures are flourishing. The chart below illustrates the growing disparity between net income reported under US GAAP and adjusted net income as a non-GAAP financial measure. Companies increasingly believe that non-GAAP financial measures play a critical role in their communication with investors, and that investors rely on that information to understand a company’s performance and liquidity.

Against this backdrop of perceived importance, this publication outlines our understanding of the SEC requirements related to non-GAAP financial measures.

Melanie F. Dolan and Jonathan Guthart
Department of Professional Practice of KPMG LLP


Note: While total GAAP/Non-GAAP adjustments fell from $206.8 billion to $184.9 billion in 2016, the 2015 GAAP/Non-GAAP adjustment differences were driven largely by significant energy sector impairments. Excluding the energy sector, GAAP/Non-GAAP adjustments rose $29.9 billion.
About this publication

The purpose of this Issues In-Depth is to assist you in understanding the SEC requirements related to non-GAAP financial measures.

The rules

The rules referred to in this publication that govern the presentation of non-GAAP financial measures mainly comprise the following:

— Regulation G, Conditions for Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures (reproduced in Appendix 1).
— Regulation S-K Item 10(e), Use of non-GAAP financial measures in Commission filings (reproduced in Appendix 2).
— Instruction 2 to Item 2.02, The requirements of paragraph (e)(1)(i) of Item 10 of Regulation S-K (17 CFR 229.10(e)(1)(i)) shall apply to disclosures under this Item 2.02 (reproduced in Appendix 3).
— Compliance & Disclosure Interpretations, updated May 2016 (reproduced in Appendix 4).

In addition, the following Topics from the FASB’s Accounting Standards Codification® are referenced:

— Topic 230, Statement of Cash Flows
— Topic 280, Segment Reporting
— Topic 860, Transfers and Servicing
— Topic 932, Extractive Activities—Oil and Gas.

Organization of the text

Our commentary is referenced to the rules and to other literature, where applicable. The following are examples.

— G.101(a) is Item 101(a) of Regulation G.
— S-K.10(e)(2) is Item 10(e)(2) of Regulation S-K.
— FR-65 II.A(2)(b) is paragraph II.A(2)(b) of the SEC’s Final Rule: Conditions for Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures.
— FRM 8170.2 is section 8170.2 of the Financial Reporting Manual of the Division of Corporation Finance of the SEC.
— CAQ SEC Regs Comm 04-2005 is the April 2005 meeting of the Center for Audit Quality SEC Regulations Committee.
— IPTF 03-2005 App B is Appendix B of the materials from the March 2005 meeting of the International Practices Task Force of the CAQ.
— ASC 280-10-50-1 is paragraph 50-1 of FASB ASC Subtopic 280-10.
Illustrative SEC comments

To illustrate the requirements being discussed, this publication includes comments the SEC staff have provided to registrants on their non-GAAP financial measures since the release of the updated C&DIs in May 2016. These comments are not copied verbatim, but in many cases have been condensed or adjusted for our editorial style (e.g. abbreviations).

Abbreviations

The following is a list of the abbreviations used in this publication.

- AICPA: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants
- CAQ: Center for Audit Quality
- CD&A: Compensation Discussion and Analysis
- C&DIs: Compliance & Disclosure Interpretations
- CODM: Chief operating decision maker
- DCP: Disclosure and control procedures
- EBITDA: Earnings before interest, tax, depreciation and amortization
- FASB: Financial Accounting Standards Board
- FFO: Funds from operations
- GAAP: Generally accepted accounting principles
- ICFR: Internal control over financial reporting
- IPTF: International Practices Task Force
- MD&A: Management’s Discussion & Analysis
- MJDS: Multijurisdictional Disclosure System
- NAREIT: National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts
- PCAOB: Public Company Accounting Oversight Board
- REIT: Real estate investment trust
- SEC: U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
1. Background

1.1 What are non-GAAP measures?

A non-GAAP measure is a financial, operating, regulatory or statutory measure that is not determined under US GAAP. It is important to differentiate between non-GAAP financial measures and other non-GAAP measures. Non-GAAP financial measures reported by registrants are subject to certain SEC rules and oversight while operating, regulatory and statutory measures are not subject to those same rules. A non-GAAP financial measure is a numerical measure of a registrant’s historical or future financial performance, financial position or cash flows.

This publication focuses on non-GAAP financial measures. The difference between the SEC’s definition of non-GAAP financial measures and other operating, regulatory and statutory measures is discussed further in section 1.4.

1.2 How are non-GAAP financial measures used?

Non-GAAP financial measures are often presented in conjunction with GAAP measures in MD&A, earnings releases and other communications. Non-GAAP financial measures are widely used by large public companies.

Many registrants use non-GAAP financial measures to provide investors with additional information and insight into the registrant’s historical and future financial performance, financial position and cash flows. One common example is adjusted earnings, which may be used to remove nonrecurring items from GAAP earnings to provide a better year-over-year comparison of financial performance.

While GAAP measures are audited and provide a reliable and standardized method for understanding a company’s historical and future financial performance, financial position and cash flows, management generally decides which non-GAAP financial measures are used and how they are calculated. There is no audit requirement or generally standardized method for calculating non-GAAP financial measures.

---

1 Audit Analytics, A Look at Non-GAAP Reporting after New SEC Guidance (January 2017)
Because the use of non-GAAP financial measures is discretionary, there is an inherent limitation in their use. For example, similarly titled non-GAAP financial measures may not be consistently calculated across the registrant’s industry. Additionally, registrants within the same industry may present entirely different non-GAAP financial measures, which may lead to a lack of comparability.

For these reasons, disclosure is necessary so that users can understand the non-GAAP financial measure. Management is required to disclose: (1) how a non-GAAP financial measure is calculated by reconciling to a comparable GAAP measure, (2) why the non-GAAP financial measure is considered useful to investors, and (3) whether management uses the non-GAAP financial measure for its own purposes.

1.3 Where are non-GAAP financial measures presented?

Non-GAAP financial measures are often presented in documents or communications required of an SEC registrant, or by companies conducting an initial public offering. SEC non-GAAP presentation and disclosure requirements may be more or less restrictive depending on where and how the non-GAAP financial measure is presented and communicated (see section 3.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common examples of where non-GAAP financial measures are presented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>— Earnings release in furnished Form 8-K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— Earnings release in filed Form 8-K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— Earnings calls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— Webcasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— Investor presentations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— Websites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— Business section of Form 10-K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— Selected Financial Data section of Form 10-K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— MD&amp;A section of Form 10-K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— MD&amp;A section of Form 10-Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— Operating and Financial Review and Prospects section of Form 20-F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.4 What measures meet the SEC’s definition of non-GAAP financial measures?

1.4.10 Non-GAAP financial measures

The SEC defines a non-GAAP financial measure as a numerical measure of a registrant’s historical or future financial performance, financial position or cash flows that:

— excludes amounts, or is subject to adjustments that have the effect of excluding amounts, that are included in the most directly comparable measure calculated and presented based on GAAP in the registrant’s income statement, balance sheet or cash flows statement (or equivalent statements); or

— includes amounts, or is subject to adjustments that have the effect of including amounts, that are excluded from the most directly comparable
GAAP measure calculated and presented in conformity with GAAP. [G.101(a), S-K.10(e)(2)]

The stated intent of the non-GAAP financial measure rules is to include in the definition all measures that have the effect of depicting a measure of either:

- performance that differs from that presented in the financial statements (e.g. income or loss before taxes or net income or loss) as calculated based on GAAP; or
- liquidity that differs from cash flows or cash flows from operations computed based on GAAP. [FR-65 II.A(2)(b)]

### Common examples of non-GAAP financial measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBITDA</td>
<td>Adjusted free cash flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBIT</td>
<td>Earnings excluding restructuring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFO</td>
<td>Net income excluding impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted FFO</td>
<td>Adjusted net income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted EBITDA</td>
<td>Adjusted loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core earnings</td>
<td>Normalized earnings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net debt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free cash flow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurring earnings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized EPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributed cash flow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAAP one-time adjusted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAAP adjusted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash earnings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash loss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.4.20 Operating and statistical measures

Certain measures of operating performance and statistical measures fall outside the scope of the SEC’s definition of a non-GAAP financial measure. [FR-65 II.A(2)(b)]

Measures excluded from the scope of the SEC’s non-GAAP financial measure rules include:

- operating and other statistical measures – e.g. unit sales, numbers of employees and numbers of customers.
- ratios and statistical measures calculated using only financial measures calculated based on GAAP and/or operating measures or other measures that are not non-GAAP financial measures. Examples include:
  - sales per square foot or same store sales, if those sales are determined based on GAAP; and
  - operating margin calculated by dividing revenues into operating income, if both revenues and operating income are determined based on GAAP. [G.101(a)(2), S-K.10(e), FR-65 II.A(2)(b)]

The SEC’s definition of non-GAAP financial measures also excludes financial information that does not in effect provide numerical measures that differ from the comparable GAAP measure. Examples include:

- amounts of expected indebtedness, including contracted and anticipated amounts;
- amounts of repayments that have been planned or decided on but not yet made;
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— estimated revenues or expenses of a new product line, if those amounts were estimated in the same manner as would be computed under GAAP; and

— measures of profitability and total assets for each segment required for disclosure under the accounting for segment information (see section 4.2).

1.4.30 Regulatory and statutory measures

Financial measures required by SEC rules (i.e. an SEC-required financial measure) or a system of regulation of a government or governmental authority or self-regulatory organization that applies to the registrant (i.e. a statutory measure) are not non-GAAP financial measures.

— Examples of SEC-required financial measures include disclosures required by any of the SEC’s industry guides and disclosure of taxable income required for real estate partnerships.

— Examples of statutory measures include statutory capital or reserves for financial institutions and statutory losses or premiums for insurance companies. [S-K.10(e)(5), FR-65 II.A(2)(b)]

A registrant should present the financial measures outside of the financial statements unless those measures are required or expressly permitted by the standard setter responsible for establishing the GAAP used in the financial statements – e.g. segment information required or expressly permitted under Topic 280. [S-K.10(e)(5)]

If a registrant adjusts an SEC-required financial measure or statutory measure to include or exclude amounts otherwise excluded or included in the measure, it considers that adjusted measure to be a non-GAAP financial measure.

Additionally, a financial measure prepared under guidance published by a government or governmental authority or self-regulatory organization that is not a required disclosure by the respective government or governmental authority or self-regulatory organization is a non-GAAP financial measure. A financial measure must be a required disclosure by a system of regulation that applies to the registrant to be considered a regulatory or statutory measure not subject to the SEC’s non-GAAP financial measure rules. [C&DI 102.12]
1.4.40 Segment information

The SEC’s definition of non-GAAP financial measures excludes the disclosures of segment profit or loss and total assets required by Topic 280. In addition, Topic 280 requires or expressly permits disclosure in GAAP financial statements of additional financial information for each segment beyond its measure of profit and loss and total assets; that additional information for each segment is also excluded from the definition of non-GAAP financial measures. A Topic 280 measure of profit and loss reported to the CODM for each segment used to allocate resources to the segments and assessing their performance is not subject to the provisions of the SEC’s non-GAAP financial measure rules. For further discussion, see section 4.2. [C&DI 104.01, ASC 280-10-50-1, 50-3 – 50-10]
2. The rules

2.1 SEC developments over time

The SEC issued and adopted FR-65 (the final rules) related to use of non-GAAP financial measures as mandated by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The final rules:

— created Regulation G, which includes the basic requirements and applies to publicly disclosed or released material information containing a non-GAAP financial measure;
— amended Item 10 of Regulation S-K (S-K Item 10(e)), Form 20-F and other regulations, which impose additional requirements to Regulation G for filed non-GAAP financial measures, including specific prohibitions; and
— revised Form 8-K to require registrants to ‘furnish’ the SEC with earnings releases and similar announcements of financial information for completed annual and interim periods. Instruction 2 to Item 2.02 requires disclosures incremental to Regulation G about furnished non-GAAP financial measures.

The SEC staff also issued Frequently Asked Questions Regarding the Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures (2003 FAQs) to assist in implementing the requirements adopted in the final rules.

The SEC staff determined that the 2003 FAQs created an environment where meaningful information was being excluded from periodic reports and other SEC filings. The SEC staff was concerned that the interpretive guidance was more restrictive than what Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e) intended.

The SEC staff issued C&DIs, which replaced and updated the 2003 FAQs.

The SEC Chair and SEC staff began publicly warning that enforcement action would be taken against companies that fail to comply with guidance outlining how a registrant must present non-GAAP financial measures. The SEC’s renewed focus was driven by the increased use of non-GAAP financial measures, and the widening disparity between GAAP and non-GAAP results (see chart in the foreword).
The SEC staff significantly updated the C&DIs to provide additional guidance about how companies are allowed to use non-GAAP financial measures, and specifically listed prohibited practices.

The SEC staff is actively commenting to companies about their use of non-GAAP financial measures in communications and financial reporting. The majority of these comments are intended to point companies to the C&DIs to remind them of their obligation to comply with the SEC rules and interpretations.

“While your chief financial officer and investor relations team may be quite enamored of non-GAAP measures as useful market communication devices, your finance and legal teams, along with your audit committees, should carefully attend to the use of these measures and consider questions such as:

— Why are you using the non-GAAP measure, and how does it provide investors with useful information?
— Are you giving non-GAAP measures no greater prominence than the GAAP measures, as required under the rules?
— Are your explanations of how you are using the non-GAAP measures – and why they are useful for your investors – accurate and complete, drafted without boilerplate?
— Are there appropriate controls over the calculation of non-GAAP measures?”

Chair Mary Jo White, Keynote Address at the 2015 AICPA National Conference on Current SEC and PCAOB Developments

2.2 Regulation G applies to public disclosures

Regulation G applies to all public disclosures that include non-GAAP financial measures that are made by a registrant that is required to file reports pursuant to, or has a class of securities registered under, the Exchange Act – i.e. an ‘issuer’ as defined by the Exchange Act. Regulation G also applies when a person, acting on the registrant’s behalf, discloses publicly or releases publicly material information that includes a non-GAAP financial measure.

Regulation G does not apply to registered investment companies or certain disclosures related to proposed business combinations (see section 2.6.10).

The term ‘public disclosure’ is not defined. Instead, the SEC has stated that whether a disclosure is public depends on all of the facts and circumstances surrounding that disclosure. [FR-65 FN31]
Public disclosures include information that is disclosed publicly (e.g. in a filing with the SEC) and information released publicly (e.g. press releases, earnings releases and investor presentations). Public disclosures are not just written communications. Regulation G applies to all types of nonwritten communications, including those made orally, by telephone, by webcast or by broadcast. A registrant should consult its legal counsel to determine when a disclosure is public and subject to the provisions of Regulation G.

Regulation G is reproduced in Appendix 1.

2.3 **S-K Item 10(e) applies to filings with the SEC**

S-K Item 10(e) applies to all filings under the Securities Act and the Exchange Act that include non-GAAP financial measures.

Non-GAAP financial measures are prohibited by S-K Item 10(e) from presentation on the face of a registrant’s financial statements or in the accompanying notes. S-K Item 10(e) also prohibits presenting non-GAAP financial measures on the face of any pro forma financial information required to be disclosed by Article 11 of Regulation S-X. Due to these prohibitions, S-K Item 10(e) generally only applies to other sections of a filing under the Securities Act and the Exchange Act that include non-GAAP financial measures – e.g. MD&A, Summary Financial Information.

S-K Item 10(e) does not apply to:

- registered investment companies (see section 2.6.10);
- certain disclosures related to proposed business combinations (see section 2.6.20);
- certain disclosures related to compensation discussion and analysis (see section 2.6.30);
- free writing prospectuses, unless they are included in or incorporated by reference into a filing under the Securities Act and the Exchange Act (see section 2.6.40); and
- webcasts and other nonwritten communications (see section 2.6.50).

Whether or not scope limitations apply is a legal determination and depends on a registrant’s specific facts and circumstances. Management should consult with their securities counsel about interpreting these scope limitations to ensure compliance with the rules. The guidance in this publication is not a substitute for the legal services or advice that is required to interpret these scope limitations.

S-K Item 10(e) is reproduced in Appendix 2.

2.4 **Instruction 2 to Item 2.02 applies to filed and furnished Form 8-Ks**

Item 2.02 of Form 8-K requires that a domestic registrant *furnish* to the SEC all releases or announcements disclosing material nonpublic financial information about completed annual or quarterly periods that it has not previously disclosed. A domestic registrant may decide to *file* the Item 2.02 Form 8-K, which has other implications and disclosure requirements.
When a registrant presents non-GAAP financial measures in an Item 2.02 Form 8-K, Regulation G applies to that information, regardless of whether the Form 8-K is filed or furnished. The additional application of S-K Item 10(e) depends on whether the Form 8-K is filed or furnished.

— If a Form 8-K that includes non-GAAP financial measures is filed with the SEC, all of the provisions of S-K Item 10(e) apply.

— If a Form 8-K that includes non-GAAP financial measures is furnished to the SEC, Instruction 2 of Item 2.02 requires certain disclosures in addition to the Regulation G requirements but does not include the same prohibitions as S-K Item 10(e) (see section 5.3).

Instruction 2 to Item 2.02 is reproduced in Appendix 3.

2.5 C&DIs provide guidance

The C&DIs addressing non-GAAP financial measures reflect the Division of Corporation Finance staff’s (SEC staff) views and interpretation of Regulation G, S-K Item 10(e), Instruction 2 to Item 2.02, and other SEC regulations. The C&DIs are presented in Q&A format and provide examples of what the SEC staff believes to be the appropriate or inappropriate use and/or presentation of a non-GAAP financial measure.

The C&DIs are not in themselves rules, regulations or statements of the SEC. The SEC has neither approved nor disapproved of these interpretations. The C&DIs do not necessarily discuss all material considerations necessary to reach the conclusions stated, and they are not binding because of their highly informal nature; they are intended as general guidance and should not be considered definitive. However, the SEC staff will often refer a registrant directly to a particular Q&A in the C&DIs when they believe the presentation of a non-GAAP measure does not comply with the rules.

The C&DIs are reproduced in Appendix 4.

2.6 Scope of requirements

The rules on non-GAAP financial measures apply as follows.

| Public disclosures | Regulation G provides general requirements for the use of non-GAAP financial measures in all public disclosures of material information, whether or not included in SEC filings. |
| Filings | In a filing with the SEC, the use of non-GAAP financial measures is generally subject to the requirements in S-K Item 10(e). This is in addition to the Regulation G requirements. |
| Earnings releases and similar announcements | Earnings releases and similar announcements are discussed in chapter 5. However, in general, in addition to Regulation G (because an earnings release is a public disclosure):
  — when an Item 2.02 Form 8-K is furnished to the SEC by a domestic registrant, certain disclosures included in Item 10(e) about non-GAAP financial measures are required;
  — when an Item 2.02 Form 8-K is filed with the SEC by a domestic registrant, all of S-K Item 10(e) applies. |
2.6.10 Registered investment companies

A registered investment company is an entity registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (1940 Act). The 1940 Act provides special reporting requirements that are specific to registered investment companies. For example, registered investment companies are not required to provide executive compensation disclosure or MD&A, or to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Registered investment companies are excluded from the definition of ‘registrant’ for purposes of Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e); this is because the Sarbanes-Oxley Act exempts registered investment companies from any rules adopted by the SEC under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. [Release No. 33-8145 FN24]

An investment company that does not qualify as a registered investment company under the 1940 Act (e.g. business development companies, certain real estate investment funds) must comply with Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e). [S-X Art. 6]

2.6.20 Exemption for proposed business combinations

Companies contemplating a business combination are often required to file their public communications under SEC communications rules that apply to business combination transactions. These may include projections or forecasts of results and brief statements about the potential benefits to be achieved by the business combination – e.g. synergies, valuations, dividend amounts.

The rules do not apply to a non-GAAP financial measure included in a disclosure related to a proposed business combination if the disclosure is included in a communication that is subject to the SEC communications rules applicable to business combination transactions. However, this exemption does not extend to the same non-GAAP financial measure if it is also disclosed in a Securities Act registration statement, proxy statement or tender offer statement. [FR-65 I, C&DI 101.01, 101.02]

The following table summarizes the specific exemptions for proposed business combinations, making it clear that not all non-GAAP financial measures are outside the scope of the rules discussed in this publication. [G.100(d), S-K(e)(6), C&DI 101.01, 101.02, FR-65 II.A(1)(c)]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exempt</th>
<th>Not exempt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-GAAP financial measures included in communications subject to the SEC’s communication rules that apply to a proposed business combination made under Rule 425 of the Securities Act, or Rules 14a-12, 14d-2(b)(2) or 14d-9(a)(2) of the Exchange Act.</td>
<td>If the same non-GAAP financial measure included in a communication under one of those rules is also disclosed in a registration statement, a proxy statement or a tender offer statement, the exemption does not apply to the disclosures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The exemption applies to the entity resulting from the combination and the parties to the transaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exempt</th>
<th>Not exempt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-GAAP financial measures included in forecasts provided to a financial advisor – included in registration statements, proxy materials and tender offer statements – to the extent that:</td>
<td>Non-GAAP financial measures included in forecasts disclosed in a registration statement or proxy statement related to a business combination that are not required by Item 1015 of Regulation M-A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— the financial measures are included in forecasts provided to the financial advisor for the purpose of rendering an opinion that is materially related to the business combination transaction; and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— the forecasts are being disclosed in order to comply with Item 1015 of Regulation M-A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This includes information disclosed in a Form S-4 to register shares to be issued in a business combination or in a proxy statement to comply with Item 1015 of Regulation M-A – e.g. information provided in a report, opinion or appraisal used to support the fairness of the consideration issued in the transaction.

2.6.30 Compensation Discussion and Analysis

As part of the CD&A in its annual proxy statement, a registrant is required to disclose the criteria used in reaching decisions for the compensation paid to its chief executive officer, chief financial officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers. These criteria often include the registrant’s use of corporate and individual performance targets (target levels), which may constitute non-GAAP financial measures. [CFR 229.402(b)]

A target level that is a non-GAAP financial measure provided under this requirement is not subject to Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e). However, a registrant must disclose how the target level non-GAAP financial measure is calculated from its audited financial statements. [CFR 229.402(b) Instr. 5]

This exception does not extend to non-GAAP financial measures that do not relate to the disclosure of target levels, but are nevertheless included in CD&A or other parts of the proxy statement. Non-GAAP financial measures presented for any other purpose are subject to the requirements of Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e), [C&DI 108.01]

The SEC staff will not object if a registrant presents a non-GAAP financial measure in the CD&A to explain the relationship between pay and performance or to justify certain amounts of pay if the registrant includes a prominent reference to either:

— an annex to the proxy statement containing the GAAP reconciliation and other information required by the rules; or
— the pages in the Form 10-K containing the required GAAP reconciliation and other information, provided the Form 10-K is incorporating by reference the proxy statement’s Item 402 disclosure as part of its Part III information. [C&DI 108.01]

2.6.40 Free writing prospectus

Following the issuance of the final rules, the SEC introduced the concept of a free writing prospectus. A free writing prospectus is a type of communication that:

— represents an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy securities that is or will be the subject of a registration statement; and

— does not otherwise satisfy the statutory prospectus requirements under the securities laws. [Release 33-8591, 34-52056, FR-75, C&DI 102.08]

How Regulation G applies to a free writing prospectus depends on the Exchange Act reporting status of the issuer. It does not depend on the nature of the communication in which a non-GAAP financial measure is included. Therefore, if the issuer is not required to file Exchange Act reports, Regulation G does not apply to that issuer’s free writing prospectus.

S-K Item 10(e) applies to registration statements under the Securities Act and to registration statements and other documents filed under the Exchange Act. An issuer is not required to file a free writing prospectus as part of its registration statement or otherwise include or incorporate it by reference into a registration statement. Therefore, S-K Item 10(e) does not apply to a free writing prospectus that a registrant does not file or include or incorporate by reference into a registration statement, or does not include in an Exchange Act report (e.g. Form 8-K). If the free writing prospectus is included or incorporated in a registration statement or in an Exchange Act report, it is subject to the provisions of S-K Item 10(e). [C&DI 102.08]

2.6.50 Webcasts and other nonwritten communications

Many registrants conduct quarterly earnings calls, mostly by webcast, to announce earnings and communicate performance to the public. Using a webcast or other nonwritten communication method (i.e. orally, telephonically, by broadcast or by similar means) might present some difficulty in meeting the disclosure requirements in Regulation G. Therefore, the SEC has stated that the disclosure provisions of Regulation G are met if:

— the required information is provided on the registrant’s website at the time the non-GAAP financial measure is made public; and

— the website location (i.e. address) is made public in the same presentation in which the non-GAAP financial measure is made public. [G.100.N1]

The SEC encourages companies to provide ongoing access to this information, and suggests that the information be available for at least 12 months. [FR-65 FN28]

In most instances, an earnings call is held in the form of a webcast or other nonwritten communication shortly after a registrant announces its earnings for the most recent completed quarter or annual fiscal year. While the earnings release is typically furnished in a Form 8-K, Item 2.02 of Form 8-K provides an exemption from its requirement to furnish a Form 8-K for the information that is
discussed on the earnings call. For webcasts or other nonwritten communication, the SEC staff has stated that an audio file, slides or similar presentation materials may satisfy the exemption requirements if the file or materials:

— are provided as part of a presentation that is complementary to, and initially occurs within 48 hours of, a related written announcement or release that has been furnished on an Item 2.02 Form 8-K;
— contain all material financial and other statistical information included in the presentation that was not previously disclosed;
— provide any information that would be required by Regulation G; and
— provide investors access to the file or materials through the registrant’s web site. [C&DI 105.01]

Additionally, the SEC staff has indicated that the filing of a quarterly earnings release as an exhibit to the Form 10-Q, rather than in an Item 2.02 Form 8-K, would not preclude reliance on the exemption for the webcast or other nonwritten communication. [C&DI 105.05]

Management may unexpectedly disclose a non-GAAP financial measure during an unscripted Q&A session that had not been posted along with the required Regulation G disclosures in the presentation or on the registrant’s website in advance. If that occurs, the required information must be posted on the registrant’s website promptly after it is disclosed. A webcast of the oral presentation would be sufficient to meet this requirement provided it includes the required information. [C&DI 105.02]

The SEC staff has stated that they listen to earnings and analyst calls to learn about a company and will issue comments to the registrant if they hear inappropriate non-GAAP financial measures being discussed.

### 2.6.60 Voluntary filers

Some companies continue to file periodic reports with the SEC even though their reporting obligation under Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act is suspended automatically by the statute – e.g. due to fewer than 300 holders of the securities that created the Section 15(d) obligation.
A voluntary filer is not technically subject to Regulation G because it has no reporting responsibility under Sections 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act. However, the SEC staff has indicated that a voluntary filer faces significant anti-fraud issues under the federal securities laws if it does not comply with Regulation G in presenting non-GAAP financial measures in public disclosures. [C&DI 107.01]

Voluntary filings are still subject to the provisions of S-K Item 10(e), because the periodic or annual report (e.g. Form 10-K and Form 20-K) filed by the voluntary filer must comply with Regulation S-K. [C&DI 107.01]

2.7 Legal considerations

Neither the requirements of Regulation G nor the compliance or noncompliance with those requirements, in itself, affects any person's liability under the anti-fraud provisions of the securities laws. The SEC has indicated that a disclosure under Regulation G that is materially deficient may, in addition to violating Regulation G, give rise to a violation of the anti-fraud provisions of the securities laws. The SEC has specifically noted that a non-GAAP financial measure that obscures a registrant's GAAP results may be misleading. [G.102, FR-65 II.A(4)]

The SEC’s Division of Enforcement (Enforcement Division) has recently increased its focus on the improper use of non-GAAP financial measures. In January 2017, the Enforcement Division announced a settlement with a registrant for the inconsistent presentation over prior periods of a non-GAAP financial measure called 'organic revenue growth'. Organic revenue growth represented the company’s growth in revenue excluding the effects of two reconciling items: acquisitions and foreign exchange effects. However, in a prior period, the company began incorporating a third reconciling item into its calculation without informing investors of the change, which resulted in higher organic revenue growth results. The company also failed to give GAAP metrics equal or greater prominence to non-GAAP metrics in its earnings releases. The company ultimately agreed to pay a penalty to settle charges for violating the rules.

In September 2016, the Enforcement Division charged the former chief financial officer and chief accounting officer of a company for purposely inflating a non-GAAP financial measure. The executives allegedly manipulated the calculation of a non-GAAP financial measure used when the company provided earnings guidance.

A registrant may furnish to the SEC an earnings release on Item 2.02 Form 8-K rather than file that information with the SEC. Furnishing information to the SEC rather than filing the information with the SEC has legal and other implications for a registrant. Broadly speaking, an earnings release that is furnished is not considered filed for purposes of the liability provisions in Section 18 of the Exchange Act and is not automatically incorporated by reference into a registration statement.

A registrant should consult its legal counsel to understand the legal implications of presenting non-GAAP financial measures in public disclosures and filings with the SEC, the requirements for furnishing earnings releases in Item 2.02...
Form-8-K, and the legal implications of furnishing or filing information with the SEC.

A registrant’s failure to include all information required by Regulation G does not affect its Form S-3 eligibility under the Securities Act or whether adequate current public information exists about the registrant for purposes of Rule 144(c) of the Securities Act. However, a registrant should consult its legal counsel to determine the implications of a failure to include all of the information required by Regulation G. [FR-65 FN25, C&DI 105.03]
3. The general requirements

3.1 Overview

Regulation G states that a publically disclosed non-GAAP financial measure must not be misleading and requires the presentation of, and a reconciliation to, the non-GAAP financial measure’s most directly comparable GAAP measure. While Regulation G has fewer provisions than S-K Item 10(e) and Instruction 2 to Item 2.02, it is wider in scope because it applies to all public disclosures. S-K Item 10(e) applies to all filings with the SEC (other than the exceptions discussed in section 2.6).

In addition to the requirements of Regulation G, S-K Item 10(e) and Instruction 2 to Item 2.02 (via reference to S-K Item 10(e)(1)(i)) require:

— the comparable GAAP measure be presented with equal or greater prominence than the non-GAAP measures;
— disclosure of the reasons why the registrant’s management believes the presentation of the non-GAAP financial measure is useful to investors; and
— to the extent material, a statement of the purpose (if any) for which management uses the non-GAAP financial measure.

In addition to these requirements, S-K Item 10(e) also specifically prohibits presenting certain non-GAAP financial measures – e.g. non-GAAP financial measures in the financial statements or accompanying notes. Instruction 2 to Item 2.02 has no similar prohibitions.

While S-K Item 10(e) does not specifically prohibit per share non-GAAP financial measures of liquidity, the SEC staff has stated that per share prohibitions provided under GAAP or SEC rules also apply to non-GAAP financial measures in materials filed with or furnished to the SEC. The per-share prohibition depends on whether the per-share non-GAAP financial measure can be viewed as a liquidity measure. Per-share measures of liquidity are expressly prohibited under Topic 230 and SEC rules. [FR-65 FN11]

At the 2015 AICPA National Conference on Current SEC and PCAOB Developments, the SEC staff emphasized that evaluating the appropriateness of a non-GAAP financial measure requires careful consideration of the specific facts and circumstances. In addition, use of a non-GAAP financial measure by one entity does not necessarily mean it is appropriate for all entities to make similar disclosures because the focus should be on why the measure is useful for a particular registrant’s investors.
3.2 Summary of requirements

The following table summarizes the requirements related to the use of non-GAAP financial measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disclosure requirements/prohibitions</th>
<th>Public disclosures: Regulation G</th>
<th>Filings: S-K Item 10(e)</th>
<th>In an Item 2.02 Form 8-K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-GAAP financial measure cannot be misleading (see section 3.3)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation of most directly comparable GAAP measure (see section 3.4)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconciliation to most directly comparable GAAP measure (see section 3.5)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation not more prominent than the most directly comparable GAAP measure (see section 3.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement disclosing reasons management believes the measure is useful to investors (see section 3.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement disclosing any additional purpose, to the extent material, for which management uses the measure (see section 3.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibition on excluding items that require cash settlement from liquidity measure (see section 3.8.10)</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibition on adjustments to GAAP earnings for nonrecurring, infrequent or unusual items (see section 3.8.20)</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibitions related to placement of non-GAAP financial measures (see section 3.8.30)</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibitions related to use of titles or descriptions (see section 3.8.40)</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Not misleading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disclosure requirements/ prohibitions</th>
<th>Public disclosures: Regulation G</th>
<th>Filings: S-K Item 10(e)</th>
<th>In an Item 2.02 Form 8-K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-GAAP financial measure cannot be misleading</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overarching principle under both Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e) is that a non-GAAP financial measure cannot be misleading. A non-GAAP financial measure is considered to be misleading when, taken together with the information or discussion accompanying that measure, and in light of the circumstances under which it is presented, it contains an untrue statement of a material fact or omits to state a material fact. [G.100(b), FR-65 FN38]

On May 5, 2016, Wesley R. Bricker, Deputy Chief Accountant, made comments that primarily focused on the use of individually tailored accounting principles to calculate non-GAAP earnings, stating “if you present adjusted revenue, you will likely get a comment; moreover, you can expect the staff to look closely, and skeptically, at the explanation as to why the revenue adjustment is appropriate.” [2016 Baruch College]

The SEC staff provide specific examples in the C&DIs of non-GAAP financial measures that may be misleading. Those examples relate to the following.

---

**Excluding normal, recurring, cash operating expenses necessary to operate a registrant’s business.**

While not specifically prohibited, presenting a performance measure that excludes normal, recurring cash operating expenses necessary to operate a business may be considered misleading.

For example, adding back selling and marketing expense to operating income may be considered misleading because selling and marketing expense may be considered to be a normal, recurring, cash operating expense necessary to operate the registrant’s business. [C&DI 100.01]

---

**Illustrative SEC comment.** You disclose ‘operating income, excluding certain items’, which excludes store closing and other costs; and disclose ‘adjusted operating income’ in your ‘return on invested capital’ calculation, which adds back rent expense. It appears that store closing and other costs and rent expense may be normal, recurring, cash operating expenses necessary to operate your business; therefore, your disclosures may be inconsistent with Question 100.01 of the updated C&DIs on Non-GAAP Financial Measures. Please review this guidance when preparing your next filing.
3. The general requirements

**Inconsistent presentation between periods without disclosing effect and reason for change.**

Non-GAAP financial measures may be misleading if not presented consistently between periods. A non-GAAP financial measure that adjusts a specific charge or gain in the current period but did not adjust other, similar charges or gains in prior periods could violate SEC rules unless (1) the change is disclosed, and (2) the reasons for the change are stated.

For example, a registrant reclassifies an asset between inventory and PPE in the current period and does not adjust (or disclose the effect of) the reclassification on EBITDA, a non-GAAP financial measure. Because current period EBITDA does not include the periodic depreciation expenses related to the asset’s revenues, the non-GAAP financial measures are not comparable to the prior period; this may be considered misleading if the effect of and reason for the change are not disclosed.

Depending on the significance, a registrant may need to recast prior measures to conform to the current presentation and to place the disclosure in the appropriate context. [C&DI 100.02]

**Excluding charges, but not similar gains.**

Non-GAAP financial measures may be misleading if they exclude only charges, but not similar gains.

For example, a registrant adds back losses associated with litigation settlements to net income and does not remove gains associated with litigation claims. This may be considered misleading because the litigation claims could be considered similar in nature to the litigation settlements. [C&DI 100.03]
Individually tailored accounting principles to calculate non-GAAP earnings.

Non-GAAP financial measures that substitute individually tailored revenue recognition and measurement principles for GAAP could violate Regulation G. For example, a registrant uses a non-GAAP performance measure to adjust revenue to recognize it as billed rather than recognizing it over time as it is earned under GAAP; this may be considered misleading. The same principle applies to other individually tailored recognition and measurement methods. [C&DI 100.04]

Individual facts and circumstances should be considered when determining whether a non-GAAP financial measure may be considered misleading. A registrant should evaluate its non-GAAP financial measures in light of the updated C&DI’s, public comment letters on non-GAAP financial measures, and SEC speeches to determine whether they may be interpreted as being misleading when evaluating historical and future financial performance, financial position and/or cash flows.

3.4 Presentation of directly comparable GAAP measure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disclosure requirements/prohibitions</th>
<th>Public disclosures: Regulation G</th>
<th>Filings: S-K Item 10(e)</th>
<th>In an Item 2.02 Form 8-K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presentation of most directly comparable GAAP measure</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each non-GAAP financial measure, Regulation G requires the presentation of the most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. The requirement applies to both historical and forward-looking non-GAAP financial measures.

In principle, the SEC believes that registrants should have flexibility in determining which GAAP measure is most directly comparable to the non-GAAP financial measure. However, the SEC staff has stated its expectations related to the GAAP measures against which non-GAAP financial measures should be balanced. [FR-65 FN26]
3.4.10 Differences between liquidity and performance measures

To identify the most directly comparable GAAP measure, a registrant should first determine the purpose of the non-GAAP financial measure and whether it is intended to be used as a measure of the registrant’s liquidity or performance. Determining whether a non-GAAP financial measure is viewed as a liquidity measure or performance measure will indicate where the directly comparable GAAP measure is located in the financial statements – i.e. income statement or statement of cash flows.

For GAAP purposes, users of the financial statements will rely on the income statement for information about the registrant’s performance and the statement of cash flows for information about the registrant’s liquidity. Therefore, a non-GAAP financial measure that is a performance measure should generally be reconciled to a line item in the registrant’s income statement while a non-GAAP financial measure that is a liquidity measure should generally be reconciled to a line item in the registrant’s statement of cash flows.

The SEC has expressed the following views on the most directly comparable GAAP measure for each type of non-GAAP financial measure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-GAAP financial measure</th>
<th>The most directly comparable GAAP measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquidity measures – i.e. that measure cash or funds generated from operations</td>
<td>Amounts from the statement of cash flows – i.e. cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance measures</td>
<td>Net income, or income from continuing operations – taken from the income statement [FR-65 FN26]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, as required for the presentation of all liquidity non-GAAP financial measures, all three major categories of the statement of cash flows should be presented with equal or greater prominence than the liquidity measure. [FR-65 S II.B.2, C&DI 102.06]

Illustrative SEC comment: You present the non-GAAP measure Cash Available for Dividends, which is reconciled to net income. However, Cash Available for Dividends is defined in your Form 10-K as cash from operating activities plus cash distributions received from your interests in LP A and LP B, less cash interest expense, cash paid for income taxes, maintenance capital expenditures, and any cash reserves established by management. As Cash Available for Dividends appears to be a liquidity measure, explain why you believe it is appropriate to reconcile it to net income.
Registrants should also be alert to where and how a measure is disclosed and described and whether it is consistent with classifying the non-GAAP financial measure as a liquidity or performance measure. For example, a registrant that describes a non-GAAP financial measure as a measure of the registrant’s ability to generate cash to pay down debt may have its classification as a performance measure challenged because a registrant’s ability to generate cash is generally considered to be a measure of liquidity.

Management may determine that a non-GAAP financial measure should be classified as both a liquidity measure and a performance measure. In that case, the most directly comparable GAAP measure to the non-GAAP financial measure as both a liquidity measure and performance measure should be disclosed. Additionally, management should describe why they believe use of the non-GAAP financial measure as both a liquidity measure and performance measure is necessary to investors.

### 3.4.20 Corresponding prior year information

Although Regulation G requires disclosure of the most directly comparable GAAP measure, it does not specify whether a registrant must present corresponding prior year information for comparison purposes; it also does not state whether or how long a registrant must continue to present a particular non-GAAP financial measure.

However, we believe that management should consider whether excluding a comparable prior period non-GAAP financial measure complies with the guidance in Question 100.02 of the C&DIs about inconsistent presentation between periods. The SEC staff’s view on the need to recast a prior period non-GAAP financial measure for a change in the current period depends on the significance of the change. This view suggests that management should consider whether it needs to include the same non-GAAP financial measure for the prior period to avoid misleading financial statement users, and to place the disclosure in the appropriate context.

### 3.5 Reconciliation with directly comparable GAAP measure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disclosure requirements/prohibitions</th>
<th>Public disclosures: Regulation G</th>
<th>Filings: S-K Item 10(e)</th>
<th>In an Item 2.02 Form 8-K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reconciliation to most directly comparable GAAP measure</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulation G requires the reconciliation of each non-GAAP financial measure to its most directly comparable GAAP measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. The reconciliation should generally be presented in schedule format, beginning with the most directly comparable GAAP measure, with quantitative adjustments reconciling to the non-GAAP financial measure. Other
reconciliation methods are allowed if they are clearly understandable, quantitative in nature, and begin with the directly comparable GAAP measure.

The reconciliation requirement applies to both historical and forward-looking non-GAAP financial measures. However, for forward-looking non-GAAP financial measures, an exception to the reconciliation requirement is allowed if the information needed to prepare the reconciliation is not available without unreasonable effort (see section 3.5.10).

### 3.5.10 Unreasonable efforts exception for forward-looking non-GAAP financial measures

A registrant that presents a forward-looking non-GAAP financial measure, and no reconciliation under the ‘unreasonable efforts’ exception, should disclose in a location of equal or greater prominence than the forward-looking non-GAAP financial measure:

— the fact that it is relying on the exception;
— the reconciling information that is available without an unreasonable effort; and
— the specific information that is unavailable; and
— the probable significance of the unavailable information.

#### Example 3.1

**Example disclosure of unreasonable efforts exception for forward-looking non-GAAP financial measures**

In reliance on the ‘unreasonable efforts’ exception in Item 10(e)(1)(i)(B) of SEC Regulation S-K, a quantitative reconciliation to the most comparable GAAP measure is not provided for Adjusted EBITDA to Income from operations.

The Company cannot, without unreasonable effort, forecast certain items required to develop meaningful comparable GAAP financial measures. These items include business optimization, restructuring and stock compensation costs, foreign exchange rate changes, as well as other non-cash and unusual items and other adjustments as defined under the Company’s debt agreements that are difficult to predict in advance to include in a GAAP estimate. This is due to the unpredictable and uncontrollable nature of these reconciling items, which would require an unreasonable effort to forecast, and we believe would result in a range of projected values so broad as to be meaningless to investors.

For these reasons, we believe that the probable significance of such information is low.

### 3.5.20 Labeling reconciling items

The items in the reconciliation of a non-GAAP financial measure to its directly comparable GAAP measure should be labeled using terminology that clearly describes the nature and basis of the adjustment. Additional disclosure may be necessary to provide context for the reconciling item. For example, a reconciling item may be improperly labeled if it implies the adjustment is broader or narrower than the actual adjustment. For instance, commonly labeled
reconciling items like ‘acquisition-related’ or ‘restructuring-related’ items may be interpreted broadly and generally require additional disclosure such as the significant components making up the reconciling item and/or additional commentary stating the reconciling item’s nature and purpose.

At the 2013 AICPA National Conference on Current SEC and PCAOB Developments, the SEC staff reminded attendees that registrants should not use common US GAAP terms in their non-GAAP disclosure in a manner that is inconsistent with the definition in US GAAP. For example, the SEC staff has observed instances in the oil and gas industry where they believe companies have labeled adjustments for derivative gains and losses in a way that may lead investors to believe that the adjustments have been calculated under commonly understood US GAAP when that may not be the case.

Additionally, the SEC prohibits a non-GAAP performance measure from eliminating or smoothing items identified (i.e. labeled) as non-recurring, infrequent or unusual, when the nature of the charge or gain is such that it is reasonably likely to recur within two years or there was a similar charge or gain in the prior two years. This two-year look-forward and look-back prohibition only applies to those reconciling items that are labeled as non-recurring, infrequent or unusual (see section 3.8.20).

At the 2016 AICPA National Conference on Current SEC and PCAOB Developments, the SEC staff discussed the quality of reconciling items and its process for evaluating the transparency and relevance of adjustments made. This includes evaluating whether descriptions may be misleading (e.g. items labeled as non-recurring that may be in fact recurring) or do not provide an investor with a clear understanding of the nature and basis for adjustment.

**Illustrative SEC comment:** We note that you exclude non-recurring restructuring program costs, integration of acquisitions costs, and legal settlement costs from your adjusted non-GAAP income measure. Please explain to us why these are not normal, recurring, cash operating expenses necessary to operate your business. In your response, please tell us the significant components of each of the expenses for each of the last three years and the latest interim period with comparable amounts for the 2016 interim period.

**Illustrative SEC comment:** We note that in May, you sold your European subsidiary. We also note that on page 10 you present unaudited non-GAAP condensed consolidated statements of operations on a pro forma basis excluding the European subsidiary for prior periods. In future filings, please revise the presentation to include an explanation of what the pro forma information represents and include columns to show both the historical GAAP financial information and the pro forma adjustments used to derive the pro forma amounts.
3.5.30 Most directly comparable GAAP measure is pro forma

The most directly comparable measure may be a pro forma measure prepared and presented under Article 11 (or Rule 8-05 of Regulation S-X for a smaller reporting company).

For example, a registrant may present a pro forma statement of operations to reflect the pre-acquisition historical results of an acquired business on a combined basis along with the presentation of an adjusted combined EBITDA non-GAAP financial measure. In that case, a registrant may use the combined pro forma net income for reconciliation purposes, instead of net income. [C&DI 101.03]

Use of the term ‘pro forma’ in a non-GAAP financial measure in any context other than a measure that is prepared pursuant to Article 11 of Regulation S-X would be inappropriate (see section 3.8.40).

3.5.40 Non-GAAP financial measure is used in a ratio

When a registrant uses a non-GAAP financial measure in a ratio, each non-GAAP component of the ratio must be reconciled to its comparable GAAP measure. In addition, the registrant must present the ratio as calculated using the comparable GAAP measures. [FR-65 FN27]

Illustrative SEC comment: We note that you disclose several ratios that are calculated using non-GAAP numbers, such as Net Debt / EBITDA. However, it does not appear you have provided reconciliations for each non-GAAP financial measure used in the calculations, nor shown the measures or ratios as calculated using the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures. In future filings please ensure all non-GAAP numbers are provided in accordance with the requirements of Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K.

3.6 Equal or greater prominence requirement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disclosure requirements/ prohibitions</th>
<th>Public disclosures: Regulation G</th>
<th>Filings: S-K Item 10(e)</th>
<th>In an Item 2.02 Form 8-K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presentation not more prominent than the most directly comparable GAAP measure</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S-K Item 10(e) requires the presentation of a non-GAAP financial measure’s most directly comparable GAAP measure to be given equal or greater prominence than the non-GAAP financial measure itself.

Given the widening disparity between GAAP and non-GAAP results and the lack of formal guidance on the topic, the SEC staff provided the following specific
examples in Question 102.10 of the C&DIs in May 2016. These examples clearly illustrate the types of non-GAAP financial measure disclosures the SEC staff believes would fail to meet the equal or greater prominence requirement.

— Presenting a full income statement of non-GAAP measures or presenting a full non-GAAP income statement when reconciling non-GAAP measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measures.
— Omitting comparable GAAP measures from an earnings release headline or caption that includes non-GAAP measures.
— Presenting a non-GAAP measure using a style of presentation (e.g. bold, larger font) that emphasizes the non-GAAP measure over the comparable GAAP measure.
— A non-GAAP measure that precedes the most directly comparable GAAP measure, including in an earnings release headline or caption.
— Describing a non-GAAP measure as, for example, ‘record performance’ or ‘exceptional’ without at least an equally prominent descriptive characterization of the comparable GAAP measure.
— Providing tabular disclosure of non-GAAP financial measures without preceding it with an equally prominent tabular disclosure of the comparable GAAP measures or including the comparable GAAP measures in the same table.
— Excluding a quantitative reconciliation with respect to a forward-looking non-GAAP measure in reliance on the ‘unreasonable efforts’ exception in Item 10(e)(1)(i)(B) without disclosing that fact and identifying the information that is unavailable and its probable significance in a location of equal or greater prominence.
— Providing discussion and analysis of a non-GAAP measure without a similar discussion and analysis of the comparable GAAP measure in a location with equal or greater prominence. [C&DI 102.10]

The SEC staff followed the release of the updated C&DIs by issuing numerous comment letters, many of which were related to noncompliance with the equal or greater prominence requirement and were similar to the examples provided in Question 102.10 of the C&DIs.

3.6.10 Prominence

A majority of the examples in Question 102.10 of the C&DIs point to three general principles a registrant should consider when evaluating whether a non-GAAP financial measure is presented more prominently than the most directly comparable GAAP measure.

Illustrative SEC comment: You provide a discussion and analysis of your non-GAAP measure, Adjusted Net Income, prior to the discussion and analysis of the comparable GAAP measure, Income before provision for income taxes, which causes your non-GAAP measure to be more prominent than the most directly comparable GAAP measure.
Order of presentation. The GAAP measure must appear before the non-GAAP measure in all instances – e.g. headlines, press releases, investor presentations.

Style of presentation. The style of presentation (e.g. bold, larger font) should not give the impression that the non-GAAP measure is emphasized over the comparable GAAP measure.

Degree of discussion and analysis. A registrant should present at least a similar degree of discussion and analysis of the most directly comparable GAAP measure than for corresponding non-GAAP financial measure.

Most violations of the equal or greater prominence requirement are easily identifiable but also easily addressed. Many companies took the opportunity to self-correct after the issuance of the updated C&DI’s. Audit Analytics released a report\(^2\) in December 2016 that found that 202 (40%) of the S&P 500 displayed a non-GAAP metric before a comparable GAAP metric in their headline before the updated CD&Is were issued. After the CD&Is were issued, only 28 (6%) of the S&P 500 displayed a non-GAAP metric before a GAAP metric.

At the 2016 AICPA National Conference on Current SEC and PCAOB Developments, the SEC staff noted that with the release of the updated C&DI’s and with SEC staff engagement with registrants and advisors, substantial progress had been made in addressing problematic practices primarily with the greater or equal prominence requirement. However, the SEC staff will continue to evaluate the appropriateness of non-GAAP financial measures and comment as necessary.

3.6.20 Full non-GAAP income statement

The SEC staff commonly objects to the presentation of a full non-GAAP income statement. Presentation of a full non-GAAP income statement is generally prohibited because it would place undue prominence on the individual non-GAAP financial measure included therein.

Illustrative SEC comment: We note that you present the reconciliations of your non-GAAP measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measures in the form of a full non-GAAP income statement, a presentation that gives greater prominence to the non-GAAP measures and is inconsistent with Question 102.10 of the updated C&DI’s on Non-GAAP Financial Measures. Please review this guidance when preparing your next filing.

---

\(^2\) Audit Analytics, “Corporate Implementation of SEC’s Compliance & Disclosure Interpretations Regarding Non-GAAP Financial Measures” (December 2016)
3.6.30 Repeated disclosure

The SEC staff has informally indicated that a registrant need not repeat the required reconciliation and disclosure information everywhere in a document that it presents a non-GAAP financial measure. However, at least a cross-reference to the location of that information may be required to avoid presenting a non-GAAP financial measure more prominently than a GAAP measure.

Illustrative SEC comment: We note your tabular presentation of Segment EBITDA also includes a line item for total Segment EBITDA which is the consolidated segment amount. We also note you present and characterize it as a non-GAAP ‘EBITDA’ in the Non-GAAP Financial Measures section on page 43. Please provide a cross reference to the EBITDA to net income reconciliation as you are referring to the same non-GAAP measure. Please revise to provide such disclosures as required by Item 10(e)(i)(C) of Regulation S-K.

3.7 Required statements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disclosure requirements/prohibitions</th>
<th>Public disclosures: Regulation G</th>
<th>Filings: S-K Item 10(e)</th>
<th>In an Item 2.02 Form 8-K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statement disclosing reasons management believes the measure is useful to investors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement disclosing any additional purpose, to the extent material, for which management uses the measure</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S-K Item 10(e) requires (1) a statement disclosing the reasons why management believes the presentation of the non-GAAP financial measure provides useful information to investors about the registrant’s financial condition and results of operations, and (2) a statement disclosing any additional purpose, to the extent material, for which the registrant’s management uses the non-GAAP financial measure.

Illustrative SEC comment: For each non-GAAP financial measure presented in future filings, please provide a statement disclosing the reasons why your management believes that presentation of the non-GAAP financial measure provides useful information to investors regarding the registrant’s financial condition and results of operations. Please note that each measure should be discussed separately, and that boilerplate disclosure alone, e.g. measure is useful to analysts, is not sufficient.
Those statements should not be boilerplate; they should be substantive and specific to the:

— measure used;
— nature of the registrant;
— registrant’s business and industry; and
— manner in which management assesses and applies the measure.

[C&DI 102.04]

The SEC staff has indicated that it is not sufficient to only say that the non-GAAP financial measure is useful to analysts. On its own, this reason is not substantive. There have been many recent instances in which the SEC staff has questioned the adequacy of a registrant’s disclosure related to the usefulness of the non-GAAP financial measure to investors.

These disclosure requirements may be met by including those statements in the most recent annual report filed with the SEC (or a more recent filing) and by updating those statements, as necessary, no later than the time of the filing containing the non-GAAP financial measures. Therefore, if the SEC filing that contains non-GAAP financial measures is not an annual report on Form 10-K or Form 20-F, the filing need not include all required statements if the omitted disclosures:

— were included in the registrant’s most recent annual report or a more recent filing; and
— are updated for any changes in facts or circumstances. [S-K.10(e)(1)(iii)]

### 3.8 Prohibitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disclosure requirements/prohibitions</th>
<th>Public disclosures: Regulation G</th>
<th>Filings: S-K Item 10(e)</th>
<th>In an Item 2.02 Form 8-K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>furnished to the SEC</td>
<td>filed with the SEC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibition on excluding items that require cash settlement from liquidity measure</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibition on adjustments to GAAP earnings for nonrecurring, infrequent or unusual items</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibitions related to placement of non-GAAP financial measures</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibitions related to use of titles or descriptions</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibitions on per share measures</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
S-K Item 10(e) includes specific prohibitions in addition to the general prohibition in Regulation G – i.e. material misstatements or omissions that would make the presentation of the non-GAAP financial measure misleading.

S-K Item 10(e) prohibits the following for information *filed* with the SEC:

- excluding charges or liabilities that required (or will require) cash settlement, or would have required cash settlement absent an ability to settle in another manner, from non-GAAP liquidity measures, other than the measures EBIT and EBITDA;
- adjusting a non-GAAP performance measure to eliminate or smooth items identified as non-recurring, infrequent or unusual, when the nature of the charge or gain is such that it is reasonably likely to recur within two years or there was a similar charge or gain within the prior two years;
- presenting non-GAAP financial measures on the face of the registrant’s financial statements prepared under GAAP or in the accompanying notes;
- presenting non-GAAP financial measures on the face of any pro forma financial information required to be disclosed by Article 11 of Regulation S-X; or
- using titles or descriptions of non-GAAP financial measures that are the same as, or confusingly similar to, titles or descriptions used for GAAP financial measures.

Presenting per-share measures of liquidity is not permitted, whether furnished or filed with the SEC. This prohibition applies to any SEC form and is not limited to non-GAAP financial measures.

### 3.8.10 Excluding items that require cash settlement from liquidity measure

A registrant may not present in an SEC filing a non-GAAP liquidity measure that excludes charges or liabilities that require (or will require) cash settlement, or would have required cash settlement absent an ability to settle in another manner.

There are several exceptions to this prohibition, two of which are discussed in chapter 4:

- EBIT and EBITDA (see section 4.1.10).
- disclosures in MD&A that may be required for material agreements (see section 4.1.30).

As discussed in section 3.4.10, the liquidity measure prohibition emphasizes the importance of management’s initial classification of a non-GAAP financial measure as either a liquidity or performance measure. If a non-GAAP financial measure is described and treated as a performance measure, the registrant would be able to exclude charges or liabilities that require (or will require) cash settlement.
require cash settlement from that measure, subject to the prohibitions discussed in section 3.8.20.

### 3.8.20 Adjustments to GAAP earnings for nonrecurring, infrequent or unusual items

A registrant may not present in an SEC filing a non-GAAP performance measure that eliminates or smooths items identified as nonrecurring, infrequent or unusual:

- when the nature of the charge or gain makes it reasonably likely to recur within two years; or
- there was a similar charge or gain within the prior two years.

[S-K.10(e)(ii)(B)]

This prohibition is based on the description of the charge or gain being labeled as nonrecurring, infrequent or unusual. If the registrant does not use that description, the requirements of Regulation G and the other requirements of S-K Item 10(e) apply in the usual way, as described in this publication. [C&DI 102.03]

Determining whether a charge will recur within the two years is a matter of judgment. The SEC has stated that it views ‘reasonably likely’ as a threshold lower than ‘more likely than not’. [Release 33-8056]

The SEC staff has informally indicated that they will apply a broad definition of the term ‘similar’ in assessing whether similar items have occurred or will occur in the future. For example, if a single restructuring plan generates a charge that includes several distinct components (e.g. employee severance, lease termination costs and asset impairments), the SEC staff would view all components of the restructuring plan as similar.

The magnitude of the charge does not affect the assessment of a ‘similar’ charge. For example, if a property casualty insurance company recognizes an unusually large amount of casualty losses in any given period, then item would not be dissimilar in nature to other casualty losses.

Illustrative SEC comment: We note that you exclude merger, acquisition, integration costs and other charges, settlement, litigation and other related charges and restructuring charges which are identified as non-recurring, infrequent or unusual in arriving at Adjusted EBITDA. We understand that you have incurred these charges in each of the last three annual reporting periods. Please note that Item 10(e)(1)(ii)(B) of Regulation S-K prohibits you from adjusting a non-GAAP performance measure to eliminate or smooth items identified as non-recurring, infrequent or unusual, when the nature of the charge or gain is such that it is reasonably likely to recur within two years or there was a similar charge or gain within the prior two years. Please review the C&DIs on Non-GAAP Financial Measures when preparing your next earnings release.
Example 3.2
Reasonably likely

ABC Corp. presents a non-GAAP financial measure that removes certain acquisition related expenses (merger-related expenses and severance costs). ABC completed multiple acquisitions in the past two years and acquisitions will continue to be a key growth strategy of ABC going forward.

As long as the adjustments are not labeled or described as non-recurring, infrequent or unusual, management may continue to adjust the non-GAAP financial measure for the same types of acquisition-related expenses.

3.8.30 Placement of non-GAAP financial measures

In a filing with the SEC, a registrant may not present a non-GAAP financial measure:

— on the face of financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP or in the accompanying notes; or
— on the face of any pro forma financial information provided to comply with Article 11 of Regulation S-X (or Rule 8-05 of Regulation S-X for a smaller reporting company). [S-K.10(e)(1)(ii)(C)–(D)]

3.8.40 Use of titles or descriptions

In a filing with the SEC, a registrant may not use titles or descriptions of non-GAAP financial measures that are the same as, or confusingly similar to, titles or descriptions used for GAAP financial measures. [S-K.10(e)(1)(ii)(E)]

The SEC has recently provided numerous comments requesting registrants to clearly and consistently identify and label their non-GAAP financial measures to differentiate them from GAAP measures. It would be inappropriate to label a non-GAAP financial measure with a name that could be interpreted as a GAAP measure. Additionally, it would be inappropriate to label a non-GAAP financial measure with a name that may convey a measure that it does not actually represent.

Illustrative SEC comment: We note that you present a non-GAAP measure entitled Operating Earnings. ‘Earnings from operations,’ ‘operating income,’ ‘operating earnings’ and ‘profit from operations’ are terms used in US GAAP to refer to income that is generated by the ordinary and usual activity of a reporting entity. Please tell us how your presentation complies with Item 10(e)(1)(ii)(E) which prohibits you from using titles for non-GAAP measures that are the same as, or confusingly similar to, titles or descriptions used for GAAP financial measures.

For example, using labels like ‘Free Cash Flow’ or ‘EBITDA’ for a non-GAAP financial measure that has been adjusted for anything beyond the customary calculation would be inappropriately labeled. The SEC has frequently requested that such non-GAAP financial measure be updated to include the term ‘adjusted’.
It would also be inappropriate to label a non-GAAP financial measure as ‘pro forma’ if the measure was not prepared pursuant to Article 11 of Regulation S-X. For example, companies have labeled a non-GAAP measure as ‘pro forma’ to demonstrate the effect of an acquisition on their historical financial statements. The SEC staff has frequently commented that labeling these measures under this context would be inappropriate as it would imply that their titles were prepared pursuant to Article 11 of Regulation S-X.

### 3.8.50 Per-share measures

Per-share measures of liquidity (e.g. cash flow per share) are expressly prohibited under both Topic 230 and SEC rules. This specific prohibition applies to all filings with the SEC and any Form 8-K that is furnished to the SEC (see section 1.2). (C&DI 102.05, FR-65 FN 11, ASC 230-10-45-3)

A per-share measure of performance is prohibited in a filing with the SEC if that measure is a prohibited non-GAAP financial measure under the general principles described in section 3.8 – e.g. the per-share performance measure includes adjustments to GAAP earnings for items labeled as nonrecurring, infrequent or unusual items, when the nature of the adjustment is such that it is reasonably likely to recur within two years or there was a similar charge or gain within the prior two years.

**Illustrative SEC comment:** The non-GAAP financial measures described as ‘pro-forma’ in the Form 8-K do not appear to be presented in accordance with Article 11 of Regulation S-X in light of the nature of the adjustments made. Please change the labeling of these measures by removing the wording ‘pro forma’ to properly reflect their nature.

**Illustrative SEC comment:** You disclose that you believe the non-GAAP measures presented, including Adjusted EPS, allow investors to gain a better understanding of the factors and trends affecting the ongoing cash earnings capabilities of your business for which capital investments are made and debt is serviced. From this it appears the non-GAAP measures are intended to be measures of liquidity. Presenting non-GAAP liquidity measures on a per share basis is not consistent with 102.05 of the updated C&DIs on Non-GAAP Financial Measures. Please review this guidance when preparing your next earnings release.
Other per-share measures of performance are not prohibited, but the registrant must reconcile any per-share measure to GAAP EPS. In addition, the statements outlined in section 3.7 are required. [C&DI 102.05]

The per-share measure should be determined using a GAAP method of calculation – e.g. EPS under Topic 260. In addition, the SEC staff has stipulated that registrants should present per-share non-GAAP financial measures on a diluted basis. [FR-65 FN49]

**Illustrative SEC comment:** In determining the non-GAAP number of shares used in your non-GAAP diluted earnings per share calculations, you include the effect of call options even though they are anti-dilutive and pursuant to the no anti-dilution principle outlined in ASC 260-10-45-17 to 45-20 should be excluded from earnings per share calculations. Your use of an individually tailored principle in measuring non-GAAP earnings per share is inconsistent with Question 100.04 of the updated C&DI's on Non-GAAP Financial Measures. Please review this guidance when preparing your next earnings release. We remind you that the company and its management are responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of their disclosures, notwithstanding any review, comments, action or absence of action by the staff.
4. Specific considerations

4.1 EBIT and EBITDA

EBIT and EBITDA are non-GAAP financial measures and are subject to all required disclosures. The calculation of EBIT and EBITDA should include only the specific components in the acronym. Therefore:

- EBIT may only start with net income as presented in the GAAP statement of operations and only include adjustments for interest and taxes; and
- EBITDA may only start with net income as presented in the GAAP statement of operations and only include adjustments for interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization. [C&DI 103.01]

If some other measure of earnings is used, or if items other than interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization are deducted from GAAP earnings, that measure is not exempt from the prohibitions described in section 3.8, and the titles should be distinguished from EBIT or EBITDA, such as Adjusted EBIT or Adjusted EBITDA. Additionally, the description or title should clearly indicate what is calculated differently than EBIT or EBITDA. [C&DI 103.01]

Taxes in both EBIT and EBITDA should only include income taxes as presented on the statement of operations – i.e. no excise, payroll or other taxes should be included in the adjustments.

Identification of the most directly comparable GAAP measure for EBIT and EBITDA will depend on whether the non-GAAP financial measure is considered a liquidity measure or a performance measure.

4.1.10 EBIT/EBITDA as a liquidity measure

The presentation of a properly determined EBIT or EBITDA is expressly allowed, notwithstanding the prohibition on filings with the SEC for a non-GAAP liquidity measure that excludes cash related items (see section 3.8.10). If a registrant presents EBIT and EBITDA as a liquidity measure, reconciliation is required to an amount from the statement of cash flows – e.g. cash from operating activities.

In addition, as required for the presentation of all liquidity non-GAAP financial measures, the three major categories of the statement of cash flows should be presented with equal or greater prominence with the liquidity measure. [FR-65 S II.B.2, C&DI 102.06]

If a registrant presents EBIT or EBITDA as a liquidity measure, it may not present this liquidity measure on a per-share basis (see section 3.8.50). [C&DI 102.05]

4.1.20 EBIT/EBITDA as a performance measure

A registrant may present EBIT or EBITDA as a performance measure, which requires reconciling the measure to net income in the statement of operations. The SEC staff has said that operating income would not be considered the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure because EBIT and EBITDA include adjustments for items that are not included in operating income. [C&DI 103.02]
If a registrant presents EBIT or EBITDA as a performance measure, it may also present the measure on a per-share basis. In that case, the disclosure requirements noted in section 3.8.50 apply.

4.1.30 Disclosures related to material agreements

Registrants are prohibited from presenting non-GAAP liquidity measures excluding charges or liabilities that required (or will require) cash settlement, or would have required cash settlement absent an ability to settle in another manner, from non-GAAP liquidity measures, other than EBIT and EBITDA in filings with the SEC (see section 3.8.10).

However, in certain circumstances, it may be appropriate for a registrant to disclose in MD&A a non-GAAP liquidity measure that is prohibited (e.g. adjusted EBITDA) to discuss items material to liquidity.

If a registrant’s credit agreement includes a material covenant for the non-GAAP financial measure, such as Adjusted EBIT or EBITDA where EBIT or EBITDA have been adjusted to exclude charges or liabilities that must be cash settled, the registrant may still need to disclose the financial measure as part of its MD&A. MD&A requires disclosure of material items affecting liquidity. Therefore, a registrant may disclose the non-GAAP financial measure in MD&A if the:

— debt agreement is a material agreement;
— covenant is a material term of the debt agreement; and
— information about the covenant is material to an investor’s understanding of the financial condition and/or liquidity.

A registrant should also consider disclosure of the:

— material terms of the debt agreement, including the covenant;
— amount or limit required for compliance with the covenants; and
— actual or reasonably likely effects of compliance or noncompliance with the covenant on financial condition and liquidity. [C&DI 102.09]

4.2 Segment information

4.2.10 Disclosures under Topic 280

Under Topic 280, a public entity is required to report a measure of profit or loss and total assets for each reportable segment. Often companies with multiple reportable segments disclose a segment measure for each reportable segment that differs from GAAP net income because corporate overhead and certain costs are not allocated to each segment. [ASC 280-10-50-22]

The definition of non-GAAP financial measures excludes the disclosures of segment profit or loss and total assets required by Topic 280. In addition, Topic 280 requires or expressly permits disclosure in the GAAP financial statements of additional financial information for each segment beyond its measure of profit and loss and total assets. That additional information for each segment is also excluded from the definition of non-GAAP financial measures. A Topic 280 measure of profit and loss reported to the CODM for each segment used to allocate resources to the segments and assess their performance is not subject to the provisions of Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e). [C&DI 104.01, ASC 280-10-50-1, 50-3 – 50-10]
At the 2016 AICPA National Conference on Current SEC and PCAOB Developments, the SEC staff noted that voluntarily expanding the segment disclosure to include non-GAAP financial measures that the CODM reviews does not provide ‘protection’ or otherwise exempt the disclosure from the SEC’s rules and regulations addressing non-GAAP measures.

For example, the SEC staff has objected when a registrant that is organized and operates as a single operating segment discloses in its segment note to the financial statements a segment measure of profitability for the consolidated entity. The SEC staff believes that if a registrant does not have multiple operating segments there would be no performance assessment or resource allocations made that would necessitate the need for that disclosure under Topic 280 (and therefore it is not contemplated by the SEC’s exclusion for GAAP-required information). Similarly, presenting a non-GAAP profit measure that supplements the measure reported to comply with Topic 280 is outside of the scope of the SEC’s exclusion. A consolidated or supplemental non-GAAP financial measure may be presented outside of the financial statements, subject to the requirements of Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e).

Example 4.1
Presentation of segment profit or loss in the notes of the financial statements

NOTE XX: SEGMENT INFORMATION

ABC Corp. has five reportable segments. Apples, Blueberries, Cherries, Dragon Fruit and Elderberry. Segment financial information is shown below. Asset information by segment is not disclosed as this information is not separately identified and reported to the CODM.

ABC’s segment measure of profit and loss is an adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (Operational EBITDA). As demonstrated in the table below, operational EBITDA represents the earnings (loss) from continuing operations excluding interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, restructuring costs, stock-based compensation, and loss on early extinguishment of debt.¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPERATIONAL EBITDA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apples segment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blueberries segment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherries segment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dragon Fruit segment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderberry segment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total of reportable segments          | 585 |
|---------------------------------------|
| Interest expense²                     | (5) |
| Income taxes²                         | (75)|
| Depreciation and amortization²        | (110)|
| Restructuring costs²                  | (10)|
4. Specific considerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock-based compensation²</th>
<th>(15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loss on early extinguishment of debt²</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consolidated earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes</strong></td>
<td><strong>365</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Individual segment Operational EBITDA for Apples, Blueberries Cherries, Dragon Fruit and Elderberry are not considered non-GAAP financial measures because the amounts are disclosed under Topic 280. Any financial measures not required or expressly permitted by Topic 280 may not be presented in the footnotes to the financial statements.
2. Items below total reportable segment are reconciling items required by GAAP and are not non-GAAP adjustments.

### 4.2.20 Presentation outside of the financial statements

As discussed in section 4.2.10, only the specific financial information required or expressly permitted by Topic 280 qualifies for exclusion from the definition of non-GAAP financial measures. Registrants commonly present and discuss non-GAAP financial measures outside of the financial statements – e.g. in MD&A, Business section of Form 10-K, or an earnings release. Any adjustments to Topic 280 measures to eliminate or add items create new non-GAAP financial measures subject to the provisions of Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e). [C&DI 104.03]

A registrant may discuss segments or other subdivisions of its business in MD&A, and may be required to do so if this discussion is necessary to an understanding of the business. The discussion generally includes segment measures reported in the GAAP financial statements under Topic 280. If the disclosures conform to Topic 280, the segment disclosures in MD&A are not subject to the prohibitions discussed in section 3.8. Disclosure in the notes to the financial statements requires reconciliation to the registrant’s consolidated financial statements. When a registrant discusses segment profitability on the basis disclosed in the notes, it should also discuss the reconciling items that apply to the particular segments being discussed. [FR-65 FN19, C&DI 104.02]

Presenting a totaled segment profit and loss measure (summation of segment results before any reconciling items) not required by Topic 280 is considered a non-GAAP financial measure subject to the conditions for use under Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e). The SEC staff believes that presenting a total segment profit/loss measure in any context other than the Topic 280 required reconciliation would be considered as presenting a non-GAAP financial measure; this is because this total segment profit or loss measure has no authoritative meaning outside Topic 280. [C&DI 104.04]
Example 4.2

Presentation of consolidated segment profit or loss in MD&A

Given the segment information presented in Example 4.1 above, if ABC Corp. presented and discussed consolidated Operational EBITDA of $585 in MD&A, the measure would be considered a non-GAAP financial measure in MD&A subject to the provisions of Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e).

The presentation and discussion of individual segment Operational EBITDA in MD&A does not create new non-GAAP financial measures subject to Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e) because they are required disclosure under Topic 280. However, if ABC were to adjust each segment’s Operational EBITDA (i.e. remove additional items such as acquisition-related costs and impairment), each adjusted measure would no longer be considered a Topic 280 measure and would become subject to the provisions of Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e).

Topic 280 requires a registrant to disclose revenues by geographic location or product line on an entity-wide basis. If a registrant also presents in MD&A revenues (by geographic location or product line) adjusted to eliminate the effects of certain items, such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates (i.e. amounts do not equal revenues under GAAP), it creates a non-GAAP financial measure subject to the requirements of Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e). [C&DI 104.05, 104.06]

Example 4.3

Presentation of product revenue in MD&A

ABC Corp. discloses the following in MD&A as part of its discussion of product line revenues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Conventional</th>
<th>Organic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apples segment</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blueberries segment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherries segment</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dragon Fruit segment</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderberry segment</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Individual segment revenues for Apples, Blueberries, Cherries, Dragon Fruit and Elderberry are not considered non-GAAP financial measures if the amounts are determined under GAAP – even if the total amount of segment revenue presented in MD&A does not equal total revenue as presented in ABC’s financial statements.
4.3 Free cash flow

Free cash flow is typically calculated as cash flows from operating activities as presented in the statement of cash flows under GAAP, less capital expenditures. The SEC staff has urged caution when presenting free cash flow because it has no uniform definition and its name does not indicate how it is determined.

When presenting free cash flow as a non-GAAP financial measure, a registrant should provide:

- a clear description of how this measure is calculated;
- all material limitations of the measure (if any); and
- a reconciliation to GAAP. [C&DI 102.07]

Registrants should avoid inappropriate or potentially misleading implications about the usefulness of free cash flow as a non-GAAP financial measure. For example, a registrant should not use free cash flow in a manner that inappropriately implies that the measure represented residual cash flow available for discretionary spending, because the registrant may have mandatory debt service expenditures or other nondiscretionary expenditures not deducted in arriving at the measure of free cash flow. [C&DI 102.07]

Additionally, because free cash flow is a liquidity measure, it must not be presented on a per-share basis (see section 3.8.50). [C&DI 102.07]

4.4 Treatment of tax adjustments

A registrant should provide income tax effects on its non-GAAP financial measures depending on the nature of the measures.

- If a measure is a liquidity measure that includes income taxes, it might be acceptable to adjust GAAP taxes to show taxes paid in cash.

Illustrative SEC comment: You present the tax effects of the reconciling adjustments combined with your one-time tax expense (benefit) items. In light of the material one-time tax expense (benefit) items reported in each period, please revise your presentation in future filings to clearly identify and describe the significant components of this caption.
— expense commensurate with the non-GAAP measure of profitability. 
[C&DI 102.11]

While there is no specific method required for determining the tax effects of non-GAAP adjustments, it is our view that a registrant’s non-GAAP disclosure should clearly explain how it determined the current and deferred tax effects.

Adjustments to arrive at a non-GAAP financial measure should be shown gross of tax with the tax effect presented as a separate adjustment and clearly explained. A registrant may present the tax effects of all adjustments in a single line or separately present the tax effect for each individual adjustment. 
[C&DI 102.11]

**Example 4.4**

**Presentation of tax effect of adjustments**

A registrant may present the tax effects of all adjustments in a single line.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gross</th>
<th>Tax</th>
<th>Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net income (GAAP)</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset impairment</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40¹</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory write-down</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20¹</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax effect of adjustments¹</td>
<td>(60)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-time tax expense (benefit) items²</td>
<td>(150)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjusted earnings (non-GAAP)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>940</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Tax effect was calculated using the Company’s statutory rate of 40%.
2. Represents the release of a significant uncertain tax position liability.

Alternatively, a registrant may present the tax effect for each individual adjustment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gross</th>
<th>Tax</th>
<th>Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net income (GAAP)</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset impairment</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40¹</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory write-down</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20¹</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-time tax expense (benefit) items²</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(150)²</td>
<td>(150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjusted earnings (non-GAAP)</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,150</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>940</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Tax effect was calculated using the Company’s statutory rate of 40%.
2. Represents the release of a significant uncertain tax position liability.
Either presentation may be appropriate providing the gross amount of the individual adjustments and tax effect are disclosed. Additionally, given that the non-GAAP financial measure of adjusted net income is considered a performance measure, the registrant must clearly explain how it determined the current and deferred tax effects.

4.5 Treatment of pension adjustments

Given the complex nature and number of components in pension accounting, the SEC staff has stressed the importance of clearly labeling and disclosing non-GAAP financial measures that reflect adjustments for pension benefits.

An adjustment to a non-GAAP financial measure labeled as a ‘pension adjustment’ is considered inadequate without describing:

— what the adjustment represents; and
— what its basis is in the GAAP financial statements.

‘noncash pension expense’, the SEC staff has expressed concern that the reconciling item might be confusing. This is because noncash pension expense does not accurately describe pension costs, and pensions generally settle in cash.

Non-GAAP adjustments that eliminate actuarial gains or losses should be clearly labeled and disclosed. The SEC staff has expressed concern that companies may adjust the non-GAAP amount to include the expected return instead of the actual return, even though these returns may be significantly different. If a registrant presents these non-GAAP disclosures, the SEC staff expects a statement that the GAAP amounts reflect:

— the immediate recognition of all actuarial gains and losses in the income statement while the non-GAAP amount does not; and
— the actual return of $X and X% along with the corresponding non-GAAP measures.

Illustrative SEC comment: We note that your non-GAAP measures include an adjustment for the noncash actuarial loss (gain) on benefit plans, which represent mark-to-market adjustments recorded in the fourth quarter of each respective year. For any future presentation of a non-GAAP measure that includes this adjustment, please expand your disclosures to address the following: Expand your disclosures to clearly state what the adjustment represents in the context of your pension accounting policy. For example, you should clarify how you account for these actuarial losses in your historical financial statements; Provide quantitative context for the actual and expected plan asset returns.

Specifically, please disclose the actual return on plan assets amount and corresponding percentage that has been removed in arriving at the non-GAAP financial measure as well as the expected return on plan assets amount and related percentage that is now reflected in the non-GAAP financial measure; and Expand your disclosures to comprehensively address why providing non-GAAP financial measures which adjust for these actuarial gains and losses provides useful information to investors.
At the 2013 AICPA National Conference on Current SEC and PCAOB Developments, the SEC staff provided an example of a registrant that immediately recognized actuarial gains and losses and presented a non-GAAP financial measure that eliminated the actual return on plan assets from operating income. Although the registrant’s actual return on plan assets was a loss of 3% and the expected return was a gain of 8%, the registrant adjusted its GAAP operating income by 11% – i.e. the difference between the expected return and the actual return. The SEC staff observed that this type of non-GAAP measure can be confusing and encouraged disclosure that the GAAP measure reflected an actual loss on return on plan assets of 3% (and dollar amount), and that the non-GAAP measure reflected the expected return of 8% (and $ amount).

In March 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-07, Improving the Presentation of Net Periodic Pension Cost and Net Periodic Postretirement Benefit Cost, effective for fiscal years beginning after 15 December 2017 (early adoption permitted). The ASU requires companies to present the service cost component of net benefit cost in the income statement line items where they report compensation cost. Companies will present all other components of net benefit cost outside operating income, if this subtotal is presented. As a result, gross profit and operating income (and related margins) may change significantly for some companies. The ASU’s effect should be considered when preparing non-GAAP financial measures that reflect adjustments that separate the service cost component of net benefit cost or adjust other components of net benefit cost to operating income – e.g. adding back actuarial gains or losses. Any changes to the calculation of the non-GAAP financial measure from the prior period should be accompanied by disclosure that describes the change and why it is useful information to investors (see section 3.3).

### 4.6 Constant currency presentation

Constant currency is the method used to eliminate the effects of exchange rate fluctuations when calculating financial performance of a registrant’s international operations.

Amounts presented in constant currency are considered non-GAAP financial measures and should be accompanied by:

- disclosure of the historical amounts and the amounts in constant currency; and
- a description of the process for calculating the constant currency amounts and the basis of presentation. [C&DI 104.06]

For example, a registrant may include in its Form 10-K a table that breaks down its revenues by geographic locations, under the requirements of Item 1 of Form 10-K. In this tabular disclosure, the registrant presents the amount in constant currency by adjusting its GAAP revenue measure for its international operations to exclude the effects of changes in foreign exchange rates associated with the current fiscal year. The table includes the related foreign currency effect for the period. The registrant also uses this tabular disclosure of revenue by location to show changes in revenue derived from increases in sales volume, prices and exchange rates from period to period as part of its MD&A disclosures. In this instance, the registrant’s presentation of revenues by location, as adjusted for foreign exchange, is a non-GAAP financial measure subject to the provisions of Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e).
The registrant may comply with the reconciliation requirements of Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e) by:

— presenting the historical amounts and the amounts in constant currency; and

— describing the process for calculating the constant currency amounts and the basis of presentation. [C&DI 104.06]

4.7 Normalized market prices

Certain non-GAAP financial measures may include a normalized market price measure with the purpose of eliminating the effect of commodity price volatility.

At the 2015 AICPA National Conference on Current SEC and PCAOB Developments, the SEC staff stated that it objects to the use of a non-GAAP financial measure adjusting sales to eliminate ‘drastic’ declines (or increases) in commodity prices. Underlying volatility in commodity prices in the industry makes it challenging to identify what constitutes a ‘normal price’, and therefore the amount of the adjustment. The SEC staff noted that the measure is typically included only when commodity prices moved in a direction that negatively affected reported results.

4.8 System-wide revenues

A restaurant franchisor that also owns its own restaurants and receives fees from its franchisees may wish to present the revenues of the franchisees and its owned restaurant operations as a measure generally referred to as system-wide revenues.

The SEC staff allows registrants to disclose system-wide non-GAAP financial measures when all other non-GAAP requirements are met and the measure is accompanied by

Illustrative SEC comment: We note disclosure in which you state your non-GAAP price cash margin is normalized for changes in commodity prices across time periods. Utilization of 2013 as the base year could be interpreted to suggest that you expect commodity prices to recover in the near future. Tell us how you determined calculating your measure utilizing 2013 commodity prices is useful, and why you believe 2013 is the appropriate base year as opposed to other prior periods. In addition, indicate in your response your expectation for determining the base year in future presentations of this non-GAAP measurement.

Illustrative SEC comment: We note that you disclose that system-wide sales for fiscal 2016 were $100 million. Please note that we consider this measure to be a non-GAAP financial measure and in this regard should be accompanied by disclosure that this amount is inclusive of both company-owned and franchised-owned stores, and that your total revenue in the financial statements is limited to those of company-owned restaurants and royalties from your franchises. Please revise accordingly.
appropriate disclosure (see chapter 3). In some circumstances, investors find this measure useful in evaluating trends in the strength of a brand. [FRM 8170.1]

4.9 REIT reporting

4.9.10 Funds from Operations

FFO is a financial measure widely reported by real estate entities. FFO is an acceptable non-GAAP financial measure (performance measure) if the definition is consistent with the definition used by NAREIT. If a registrant presents FFO as a performance measure, it may present FFO on a per-share basis (see section 3.8.50). [C&DI 102.01]

While adjustments to the definition used by NAREIT are not prohibited, any adjustments must comply with Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e). Adjustments made to FFO must comply with the requirements for a performance measure or a liquidity measure, depending on the nature of the adjustments, some of which may trigger the prohibition on presenting this measure on a per-share basis. [C&DI 102.02]

4.9.20 Pro rata information

In September 2016, NAREIT released an alert, Reporting Pro-Rata Information, which provides guidance on presenting pro rata financial information. The alert was prompted by comments made by a representative of the SEC’s Division of Corporation Finance that disclosure of certain pro rata financial information may not comply with the C&DI’s. For example, presenting full financial statements on a pro rata basis could be viewed as applying a tailored accounting principle (see section 3.3). Additionally, including a full non-GAAP income statement may violate the equal or greater prominence requirement. NAREIT discussed the matter with the SEC staff and believes the SEC staff would not object to the example presentation provided in the alert.
Example 4.5
REIT reporting of pro rata information

In its alert, *Reporting Pro-Rata Information*, NAREIT understands the SEC staff would not object to the following presentation of elements of pro rata financial statements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements of Pro-Rata Statement of Operations</th>
<th>Noncontrolling Interest Share of Consolidated Ventures</th>
<th>Company Share of Unconsolidated Ventures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum rent</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overage rent</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenant reimbursements</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property operating expenses</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation and amortization</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real estate taxes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs and maintenance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising and promotion</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for credit losses</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements of Pro-Rata Balance Sheet</th>
<th>Noncontrolling Interest Share of Consolidated Ventures</th>
<th>Company Share of Unconsolidated Ventures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment properties, at cost</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less – accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenant receivables and accrued revenue, net</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in unconsolidated entities, at equity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred costs and other assets</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage and unsecured indebtedness</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and accrued expenses</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other liabilities</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitments and contingencies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited partners’ preferred interest in Operating Partnership</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additionally, NAREIT notes the following as it pertains to the presentation of pro rata information:
1. The consolidated GAAP financial statements may not be reported on the same table with the pro rata information.
2. The elements shown in this illustration of reporting pro rata information may be different for each registrant’s facts and circumstances.
3. No totals or subtotals should be presented.
4. Analysts could deduct the noncontrolling interest share of consolidated ventures from the consolidated amounts.
5. Analysts could add the company share of unconsolidated ventures to the consolidated amounts.

### 4.10 Finding cost per unit measures

Finding cost per unit is a financial measure generally used to determine how much it costs a registrant involved in oil and gas producing activities to find new proved reserves through its exploration and development activities. Calculating this per-unit measure generally includes dividing the sum of costs incurred for exploration and development activities by total proved reserve additions.

A finding cost per unit is generally considered a non-GAAP financial measure, unless the measure must be disclosed by:

- SEC rules – e.g. disclosures required by the SEC’s industry guides; or
- another system of regulation of a government or governmental authority or self-regulatory organization that applies to the registrant – i.e. a statutory measure. [IPTF 03-2005 App B]

If finding cost per unit is considered a non-GAAP financial measure, the registrant must include all of the required non-GAAP disclosures for such measure.

The SEC staff has indicated that information used to calculate finding cost per unit may not be comparable among registrants, possibly resulting in a cost per unit that does not include all of (or includes more than) the costs associated with a particular reserve addition. In calculating this measure, a registrant generally uses data over a three- to five-year period to address timing differences between when it incurs the finding costs and when it adds the proved reserve quantities. [IPTF 03-2005 App B]

As a result of the non-comparability of information used in the calculation and other practice related questions, the SEC staff has issued guidance to registrants that present a measure of finding cost per unit. When it presents finding costs per unit in a filing, a registrant should:

- describe how the ratio is calculated (see section 4.10.10);
- identify the status of the proved reserves that have been added (see section 4.10.20);
- identify the reasons why proved reserves were added (see section 4.10.30);
- disclose how management uses this measure, if management uses the measure;
- disclose the limitations of the measure; and
- indicate whether the finding and development costs per unit measure is comparable to other like disclosures by other oil and gas producing companies. [IPTF 03-2005 App B]
4.10.10 **Calculating the ratio**

A registrant engaged in oil and gas producing activities must disclose in the oil and gas notes to its financial statements, among other matters:

— changes in net quantities of its proved reserves of oil and gas during the year – i.e. a reconciliation of beginning and ending proved reserve quantities; and
— its costs incurred in oil and gas property, acquisition, exploration and development activities. [ASC 932-235-50-2, 50-4]

The SEC staff has indicated that the information used to calculate the finding cost per unit should be derived directly from the amounts disclosed related to the costs incurred in oil and gas property, acquisition, exploration and development activities and included in the reconciliation of beginning and ending proved reserve quantities. [IPTF 03-2005 App B]

The SEC staff has noted that the finding and development costs should include asset retirement costs. Therefore, the finding cost per unit measure should include asset retirement costs. [IPTF 03-2005 App B]

The SEC staff has also indicated that future development costs that an oil and gas producer expects to incur relative to the specific set of reserve additions included in the calculation of finding cost per unit should be included in the calculation. [IPTF 03-2005 App B]

If the oil and gas producer does not base the determination of finding costs per unit on the information disclosed under Topic 932, the SEC staff requires the registrant to disclose the source of the data.

4.10.20 **Status of proved reserves added**

In identifying the status of proved reserves added during the period, a registrant should disclose reserve additions that represent proved developed versus proved undeveloped reserves, as defined in Rule 4-10(a) of Regulation S-X. When a significant portion of the proved reserve additions is proved undeveloped, the registrant should disclose:

— that additional development costs will be incurred before the proved reserves are ultimately produced; and
— the effect that the additional development costs would have on the use and reliability of the measure of finding cost per unit. [IPTF 03-2005 App B]

Under Topic 932, a registrant may disclose a total of consolidated and equity method reserve quantities. Therefore, the calculation of finding costs per unit may include an amount attributable to proved reserves associated with equity method investees as long as a registrant identifies the amounts attributed to its equity method investees.

Additional disclosures required by the SEC staff include:

— the amount of estimated future development costs; and
— if true, that the amounts of estimated future development costs related to the proved reserve additions is a component of amounts included in the Topic 932 disclosures. [IPTF 03-2005 App B]
4.10.30 Reasons for adding proved reserves

The SEC staff requires a registrant to disclose the nature of reserve additions, whether or not it expects historical sources of reserve additions to continue, and the extent to which external factors beyond management’s control affect the amount of reserve additions from that source from period to period. In addition, the registrant must identify all situations that resulted in a reserve addition that did not require expenditure of additional costs – e.g. effect on proved reserves resulting from changes in foreign exchange rates or commodity rates. [IPTF 03-2005 App B]
5. Earnings releases and similar announcements

5.1 General requirements

Item 2.02 of Form 8-K requires that a domestic registrant *furnish* to the SEC all releases or announcements disclosing material nonpublic financial information about completed annual or quarterly periods that it has not previously disclosed. A domestic registrant may decide to *file* the Item 2.02 Form 8-K, which has other implications and disclosure requirements.

When a registrant presents non-GAAP financial measures in an Item 2.02 Form 8-K, Regulation G applies to that information, whether the Form 8-K is furnished or filed. The requirements of Regulation G are discussed in chapter 3.

Item 2.02 Form 8-K does not apply to public disclosure of earnings estimates for future or ongoing fiscal periods, unless those estimates are included in a public announcement or release of material non-public information related to a completed annual or fiscal quarter. For example, a press release announcing results of operations for the just completed fiscal quarter, including expected adjusted earnings (a non-GAAP financial measure) would be subject to Item 2.02 of Form 8-K because it contains material, nonpublic information about its results of operations for a completed fiscal period. The adjusted earnings range, a non-GAAP financial measure, would be subject to the requirements of Instruction 2 of Item 2.02. [FR-65 II.C(1), C&DI 105.06]

5.2 Exemption from requirement to furnish Item 2.02 Form 8-K

As discussed in section 2.6.50, Item 2.02 of Form 8-K provides an exemption from its requirement to furnish a Form 8-K where earnings information is presented by webcast or other nonwritten communication. For webcasts or other nonwritten communications, the SEC staff has stated that an audio file, slides or similar presentation materials may satisfy the exemption requirements if the file or materials:

— are provided as part of a presentation that is complementary to, and initially occurs within 48 hours of, a related written announcement or release that has been furnished on an Item 2.02 Form 8-K,
— contain all material financial and other statistical information included in the presentation that was not previously disclosed;
— provide any information that would be required by Regulation G; and
— provide investors access to the file or materials through the registrant’s website. [C&DI 105.01]

Additionally, the SEC staff has indicated that the filing of a quarterly earnings release as an exhibit to the Form 10-Q, rather than in an Item 2.02 Form 8-K, would not preclude reliance on the exemption for the webcast or other nonwritten communication. [C&DI 105.05]
The SEC staff cites an example in which a registrant issued its earnings release after the close of the market and two hours later held a properly noticed conference earnings call that included material, previously undisclosed information. Because the market was closed, the registrant was unable to furnish its earnings release on a Form 8-K before its conference call and therefore could not rely on the exemption from the requirement to furnish the information in the conference call on a Form 8-K. In this example, the registrant must furnish the material, previously nonpublic, financial and other statistical information (including that provided in connection with any questions and answers) as an exhibit to a Form 8-K and satisfy the other requirements of Item 2.02 of Form 8-K. A transcript of the portion of the conference call or slides or a similar presentation including that information satisfies this requirement. [C&DI 105.07]

5.3 Furnished Item 2.02 Form 8-K

Regulation G and Instruction 2 to Item 2.02 apply to non-GAAP financial measures in a furnished Item 2.02 Form 8-K.

Regulation G states that a non-GAAP financial measure must not be misleading and requires the presentation of, and a reconciliation to, the non-GAAP financial measure’s most directly comparable GAAP measure. Instruction 2 to Item 2.02 requires a registrant to include certain disclosures when it furnishes non-GAAP financial measures in Item 2.02 information.

The disclosures are the same as those addressed in chapter 3:

— a presentation, with equal or greater prominence, of the most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented based on GAAP (see sections 3.3 and 3.5);
— a reconciliation of the non-GAAP financial measure and the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure (see section 3.5);
— a statement disclosing the reasons why management believes the non-GAAP financial measure provides useful information to investors about the registrant’s financial condition and results of operations (see section 3.7); and
— to the extent material, a statement disclosing the additional purposes, if any, for which management uses the non-GAAP measure (see section 3.7).

[Form 8-K Item 2.02 Instr 2]

The registrant should include the release or announcement as an exhibit to the Form 8-K and either add the disclosures to the release or announcement or include them in the Form 8-K.

A registrant is prohibited from presenting a per-share measure of liquidity as a non-GAAP financial measure (see section 3.8.50), but the other prohibitions discussed in section 3.8 do not apply to a furnished Item 2.02 Form 8-K.

5.4 Filed Item 2.02 Form 8-K

A registrant may voluntarily elect to file the Item 2.02 Form 8-K with the SEC. In these instances, Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e) apply. Therefore, all of the guidance in chapter 3 is relevant, including the prohibitions in section 3.8.
6. Foreign private issuers

6.1 Introduction

Non-GAAP financial measures disclosed by foreign private issuers are subject to the provisions of Regulation G and S-K Item 10(e), unless specifically exempt, as addressed in this chapter. For example, a foreign private issuer may present a non-GAAP financial measure that conflicts with the prohibitions in S-K Item 10(e)(1)(ii) in a filing with the SEC if the measure is required or expressly permitted by the foreign private issuer’s home jurisdiction accounting standard setter. See further discussion in section 6.3.30 related to the term ‘expressly permitted’.

6.2 Regulation G and a foreign private issuer

The requirements stipulated in Regulation G apply to foreign private issuers (including Canadian registrants that file under the MJDS, except as described in section 6.2.20). However, if the conditions in section 6.2.10 are met, Regulation G would not apply to a public announcement that includes a non-GAAP financial measure, such as in the information furnished in a Form 6-K. [G.100(c)]

6.2.10 Limited exemption from Regulation G

For a foreign private issuer’s public announcement that contains non-GAAP financial measures to be exempt from Regulation G:

— the issuer’s securities must be listed or quoted on a securities exchange or inter-dealer quotation system outside the United States;
— the non-GAAP financial measure and the most comparable GAAP financial measure must not be calculated and presented based on US GAAP; and
— the disclosure must be made outside the United States, or must be included in a written communication that is released by or on behalf of the foreign private issuer outside the United States. [G.100(c)(1)–(c)(3)]

The conditions for exemption are met even if:

— a written communication is released in the United States, as well as outside the United States, if the US communication is released contemporaneously with or after the outside release and is not otherwise targeted to the US market;
— foreign or US journalists or other third parties have access to the information;
— the information appears on one or more websites maintained by the registrant, if the websites, taken together, are not available exclusively to, or targeted at, persons located in the United States; or
— following the disclosure or release of the information outside the United States, the information is included under cover of a Form 6-K furnished to the SEC. [G.100 N2]

The SEC considers the exemption from the provisions of Regulation G to be met even though the information containing a non-GAAP measure is readily available in the United States.
obtainable by US investors, if the information is not specifically targeted at US investors. The exemption applies even when the foreign private issuer furnishes the information to the SEC in a Form 6-K. [G.100 N2]

6.2.20 **Canadian registrants**

The limited exemption from the provisions of Regulation G applies to any non-GAAP financial measure included in a Form 40-F filed by a Canadian registrant that files under MJDS, even though the Form 40-F may include a US GAAP-based, non-GAAP financial measure. [FR-65 S II.B.1.b, C&DI 106.04]

6.3 **S-K Item 10(e) and a foreign private issuer**

The provisions of S-K Item 10(e) apply to non-GAAP financial measures included in filings with the SEC by foreign filers that file on Form 20-F, except registered investment companies. By a specific reference to S-K Item 10(e), Form 20-F prescribes conditions for including non-GAAP financial measures in filings presented on that form. Therefore, non-GAAP financial measures presented in a filing with the SEC by a foreign private issuer that files on Form 20-F must comply with the conditions and disclosure provisions in S-K Item 10(e). In addition, unless the limited exemption in paragraph 6.3.20 is met, the prohibitions on presenting non-GAAP financial measures in S-K Item 10(e)(1)(ii) (see section 5.3) also apply to filings with the SEC on Form 20-F.

A Canadian registrant filing on Form 40-F under MJDS is not subject to the provisions of S-K Item 10(e). However, any other public disclosure of a non-GAAP financial measure by a Canadian registrant is subject to the provisions of Regulation G unless the limited exemption discussed in section 6.2.10 is met. [FR-65 S II.B.1.b, FN 40, C&DI 106.04]

6.3.10 **Form 6-K**

Form 6-K is used by a foreign private issuer when providing information to be made public that is required in the home jurisdiction. The information on Form 6-K is typically furnished rather than filed with the SEC, similar to the furnishing of information under Item 2.02 of Form 8-K. Because the information on Form 6-K is furnished rather than filed, the provisions of S-K Item 10(e) do not apply to any non-GAAP financial measures presented in that Form 6-K. A foreign private issuer may elect to file a Form 6-K.

If a registrant files its Form 6-K with the SEC and incorporates it by reference into a registration statement, prospectus or annual report, the provisions of S-K Item 10(e) apply to the information filed on Form 6-K. As described in section 6.2.10, Regulation G applies to the information furnished on Form 6-K if certain conditions are not met. [FR-65 FN 39, FN 40, C&DI 106.03]

A foreign private issuer may decide to incorporate by reference into a Securities Act registration statement only those portions of the furnished press release that do not include the non-GAAP financial measures. When a foreign private issuer wishes to incorporate by reference portions of the earnings release provided on Form 6-K, it should either:

- specify in the Form 6-K those portions of the earnings release to be incorporated by reference; or
— furnish two Form 6-K reports - one that contains the full press release and another that contains the portions to be incorporated (indicating its incorporation by reference). [C&DI 106.02]

The SEC staff believes that the second approach (i.e. using two reports on Form 6-K) may provide more clarity for investors in most circumstances, and notes that an entity must consider whether its disclosure becomes misleading if it incorporates only a portion of its press release. [C&DI 106.02]

6.3.20 **Exemptions from S-K Item 10(e)**

A non-GAAP financial measure otherwise prohibited under S-K Item 10(e)(1)(ii) is permitted in a filing by a foreign private issuer if it:

— relates to GAAP used in the foreign private issuer’s primary financial statements included in filings with the SEC (e.g. IFRS);
— is required or expressly permitted by the standard setter responsible for establishing the GAAP used in the foreign private issuer’s primary financial statements filed with the SEC; and
— is included in the annual report prepared by the foreign private issuer for use in the jurisdiction in which it is domiciled, incorporated or organized, or for distribution to its security holders. [S-K.10(e) note to paragraph (e)]

We believe the exemption in C&DI 104.01 related to Topic 280, *Segment Reporting*, also applies to disclosures required by IFRS 8, *Operating Segments*.

6.3.30 **Expressly permitted**

A measure otherwise prohibited by S-K Item 10(e)(1)(ii) is permitted in filings with the SEC if the measure is required or ‘expressly permitted’ by the standard setter for the issuer’s primary financial statements and it is included in the issuer’s annual report or financial statements used in its home country. [C&DI 106.01]

A measure is expressly permitted if the standard setter responsible for establishing the GAAP used in the entity’s primary financial statements filed with the SEC clearly and specifically identifies it as an acceptable measure. The concept of expressly permitted can be also be demonstrated with *explicit acceptance* of a presentation by the primary securities regulator in the foreign private issuer’s home country jurisdiction or market. Explicit acceptance by the regulator includes:

— published views of the regulator or members of the regulator’s staff; or
— a letter from the regulator or its staff to the foreign private issuer indicating the acceptance of the presentation, which would be provided to the SEC staff on request. [FR-65 S II.B.1.b, C&DI 106.01]
7. Controls and non-GAAP financial measures

7.1 Introduction

Management is responsible for preparing reliable non-GAAP financial measures. The importance of this responsibility has been augmented by the increased scrutiny and attention that has been placed on non-GAAP measures. While an investor may rely on both GAAP and non-GAAP financial measures provided by a public company to make its decisions, only the GAAP information falls under the public company’s ICFR; see KPMG’s ICOFR reference guide. The preparation of non-GAAP financial measures falls under the public company’s DCP.

7.2 Differences between ICFR and DCP

DCPs are broadly defined by the SEC as controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that all required disclosures of information are recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by SEC rules. Management must evaluate DCP on a quarterly basis and disclose in the Form 10-K or Form 10-Q whether their evaluation was effective. [§240.13a-15]

The objective of ICFR is limited to providing reasonable assurance about the reliability of the financial statements and the preparation of the financial statements in accordance with GAAP. The objective of ICFR does not extend to any other forms of financial reporting.

Because of management’s responsibilities under DCP to prepare accurate financial information, such as non-GAAP financial measures, management may wish to extend its application of ICFR beyond the financial statements to earnings releases, other parts of Form 10-K or Form 10-Q (e.g. MD&A), and other reports. However, management’s assessment of ICFR, and the accompanying external auditor’s ICFR report (if applicable) cover only those aspects of internal control that relate to financial statements and the accompanying footnotes.

7.3 Management’s responsibilities related to non-GAAP financial measures

At the 2016 AICPA National Conference on Current SEC and PCAOB Developments, the SEC staff recommended that management routinely evaluate and document its population of non-GAAP financial measures. Specifically, management should understand and document how the registrant’s non-GAAP financial measures are used, and why the non-GAAP financial measures are relevant and important to investors and other users. This information should be communicated to, and discussed with the audit committee and senior management. The SEC staff stated that management should also consider how it fully incorporates the development and review of non-GAAP financial measures into its DCP. The SEC staff also emphasized that
audit committee members should seek to understand management’s judgments related to the design, preparation and presentation of non-GAAP financial measures and how those measures might differ from other companies.

Management should consider establishing a written policy that ensures non-GAAP financial measures are transparent, consistent and comparable. For example, the policy may address management’s consideration of the following questions that are provided in the CAQ’s publication, *Questions on Non-GAAP Measures: A Tool for Audit Committees*.

### CAQ’s Questions on Non-GAAP Measures: A Tool for Audit Committees

#### Transparency

1. **What is the purpose of the non-GAAP measure? Would a reasonable investor be misled by the information?**

2. **Has the non-GAAP measure been given more prominence than the most directly comparable GAAP measure? For example, an earnings release headline or caption that may present a non-GAAP measure without the comparable GAAP measure.**

3. **How many non-GAAP measures have been presented? Is this necessary and appropriate for investors to understand performance?**

4. **Why has management selected this particular non-GAAP measure to supplement GAAP measures that are already established and consistently applied within its industry or across industries?**

5. **Does the company’s disclosure provide substantive detail on the purpose and usefulness of the non-GAAP disclosure for investors?**

6. **How is the non-GAAP measure calculated? Does the disclosure clearly and adequately describe the calculation, as well as the reconciling items between the GAAP and non-GAAP measure?**

7. **How does management use the measure, and has that been disclosed? For example, is the measure linked to executive compensation?**

8. **Is the non-GAAP measure sufficiently defined and clearly labeled as non-GAAP? Could the title or description of the measure be confused with a GAAP measure?**

9. **Are any of the “per-share” non-GAAP measures in substance per-share non-GAAP liquidity measures, which are prohibited, or could they be used as liquidity measures even if disclosed as a performance measure?**

---

3 Reproduced with permission from the Center for Audit Quality.
### CAQ’s Questions on Non-GAAP Measures: A Tool for Audit Committees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>What are the tax implications of the non-GAAP measure? Does the calculation align with the tax consequences and the nature of the measure (i.e., performance vs. liquidity)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Does the company have material agreements, like a debt covenant, that require compliance with a non-GAAP measure? Does the company disclose that?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Consistency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Are the non-GAAP measures presented by the company balanced? Do the measures eliminate similar items that affect both revenue and expense, or do they only eliminate one or the other?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Has the company presented this measure before? Has the company stopped presenting certain measures?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Has the method or nature of the inputs to the calculation changed since the last time presented? If so, why and have the comparable periods been revised consistently?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>If the calculation has changed, are the changes adequately described? Is there a need to revise prior period measures for consistency and to avoid a potentially misleading presentation? Would they have been materially different such that the prior period calculations should be revised?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Is there a correlation between what the measure presents, and the company’s actual results? For example, if a non-GAAP measure presents positive growth, does that correlate with the GAAP results of the company? If not, have those differences been clearly communicated to investors?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Have items characterized as nonrecurring, infrequent, or unusual occurred in the past two years? Are these items not reasonably likely to recur again in the next two years?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comparability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Do other companies present this measure or similar measures? If not, why is this measure important for this company but not its peers?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Is management aware of differences in their calculation compared to other companies? Why are the calculations different?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>If there are differences from peers, is the disclosure transparent about how the measure is calculated differently than peers?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Have any industry groups defined standard calculations that companies within the industry could follow in order to present more comparable measures to investors?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.4 Operating metrics

Recently, Wes Bricker commented that it is important for registrants that report operating metrics that do not meet the SEC’s definition of a non-GAAP financial measure (see section 1.4.20) to proactively and thoughtfully address risks to their reporting⁴.

Registrants should first understand the other information being reported, including how operating metrics are defined and ensure that adequate disclosure controls and procedures are in place. These other reporting processes may require more steps than some GAAP processes, not fewer, because a registrant’s other reporting does not have the benefit of standard-setting due process that solicits stakeholder views on a representationally faithful manner of reporting a particular event or transaction and the types of disclosures needed by financial statement users. Registrants should look to its own policies, the audit committee, and other stakeholders for input.

---

7.5 External auditor responsibility related to non-GAAP financial measures

An external auditor’s responsibility related to non-GAAP financial measures is governed by AS 2710, *Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements*, which requires the external auditor to read the other information and consider whether it, or the manner in which it is presented, is materially inconsistent with information, or the manner in which it is presented, that appears in the audited financial statements. In addition, certain procedures are required under AS 2710 if the external auditor believes that there is a material misstatement of fact in the non-GAAP financial measure that is not a material inconsistency.

If the external auditor does not believe that the non-GAAP financial measure represents a material misstatement of fact, they are not required to perform other procedures over the non-GAAP financial measure if no material inconsistency is identified. Furthermore, under current PCAOB standards, the external auditor has no responsibility for performing specific procedures related to earnings releases (whether furnished or filed), investor presentations or other communications – e.g. calls with analysts and information on the registrant’s website.

AS 4105, *Reviews of Interim Financial Information*, contains guidance similar to AS 2710 about reading other information that accompanies interim financial information and considering whether that information, or the manner in which it is presented, is inconsistent with the interim financial information. [AS 4105.18(f)]

Additionally, a registrant’s preparation and disclosure of non-GAAP financial measures is outside the scope of the external auditor’s assessment of internal control over financial reporting.
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Regulation G – Conditions for Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

§244.100 General rules regarding disclosure of non-GAAP financial measures.

(a) Whenever a registrant, or person acting on its behalf, publicly discloses material information that includes a non-GAAP financial measure, the registrant must accompany that non-GAAP financial measure with:

(1) A presentation of the most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP); and

(2) A reconciliation (by schedule or other clearly understandable method), which shall be quantitative for historical non-GAAP measures presented, and quantitative, to the extent available without unreasonable efforts, for forward-looking information, of the differences between the non-GAAP financial measure disclosed or released with the most comparable financial measure or measures calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

(b) A registrant, or a person acting on its behalf, shall not make public a non-GAAP financial measure that, taken together with the information accompanying that measure and any other accompanying discussion of that measure, contains an untrue statement of a material fact or omits to state a material fact necessary in order to make the presentation of the non-GAAP financial measure, in light of the circumstances under which it is presented, not misleading.

(c) This section shall not apply to a disclosure of a non-GAAP financial measure that is made by or on behalf of a registrant that is a foreign private issuer if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) The securities of the registrant are listed or quoted on a securities exchange or inter-dealer quotation system outside the United States;

(2) The non-GAAP financial measure is not derived from or based on a measure calculated and presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States; and

(3) The disclosure is made by or on behalf of the registrant outside the United States, or is included in a written communication that is released by or on behalf of the registrant outside the United States.

(d) This section shall not apply to a non-GAAP financial measure included in disclosure relating to a proposed business combination, the entity resulting therefrom or an entity that is a party thereto, if the disclosure is contained in a communication that is subject to §230.425 of this chapter, §240.14a-12 or §240.14d-2(b)(2) of this chapter or §229.1015 of this chapter.
Notes to §244.100:

1. If a non-GAAP financial measure is made public orally, telephonically, by Web cast, by broadcast, or by similar means, the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1)(i) and (a)(1)(ii) of this section will be satisfied if:
   (i) The required information in those paragraphs is provided on the registrant’s Web site at the time the non-GAAP financial measure is made public; and
   (ii) The location of the web site is made public in the same presentation in which the non-GAAP financial measure is made public.

2. The provisions of paragraph (c) of this section shall apply notwithstanding the existence of one or more of the following circumstances:
   (i) A written communication is released in the United States as well as outside the United States, so long as the communication is released in the United States contemporaneously with or after the release outside the United States and is not otherwise targeted at persons located in the United States;
   (ii) Foreign journalists, U.S. journalists or other third parties have access to the information;
   (iii) The information appears on one or more web sites maintained by the registrant, so long as the web sites, taken together, are not available exclusively to, or targeted at, persons located in the United States; or
   (iv) Following the disclosure or release of the information outside the United States, the information is included in a submission by the registrant to the Commission made under cover of a Form 6-K.

§244.101 Definitions.

This section defines certain terms as used in Regulation G (§§244.100 through 244.102).

(a)  (1) Non-GAAP financial measure. A non-GAAP financial measure is a numerical measure of a registrant’s historical or future financial performance, financial position or cash flows that:
   (i) Excludes amounts, or is subject to adjustments that have the effect of excluding amounts, that are included in the most directly comparable measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP in the statement of income, balance sheet or statement of cash flows (or equivalent statements) of the issuer; or
   (ii) Includes amounts, or is subject to adjustments that have the effect of including amounts, that are excluded from the most directly comparable measure so calculated and presented.

(2) A non-GAAP financial measure does not include operating and other financial measures and ratios or statistical measures calculated using exclusively one or both of:
   (i) Financial measures calculated in accordance with GAAP; and
   (ii) Operating measures or other measures that are not non-GAAP financial measures.

(3) A non-GAAP financial measure does not include financial measures required to be disclosed by GAAP, Commission rules, or a system of regulation of a government or governmental authority or self-regulatory organization that is applicable to the registrant.
(b) GAAP. GAAP refers to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, except that:
   (1) In the case of foreign private issuers whose primary financial statements are prepared in accordance with non-U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, GAAP refers to the principles under which those primary financial statements are prepared; and
   (2) In the case of foreign private issuers that include a non-GAAP financial measure derived from a measure calculated in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, GAAP refers to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for purposes of the application of the requirements of Regulation G to the disclosure of that measure.
(c) Registrant. A registrant subject to this regulation is one that has a class of securities registered under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78l), or is required to file reports under Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78o(d)), excluding any investment company registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-8).

§244.102 No effect on antifraud liability.

Neither the requirements of this Regulation G (17 CFR 244.100 through 244.102) nor a person’s compliance or non-compliance with the requirements of this Regulation shall in itself affect any person’s liability under Section 10(b) (15 U.S.C. 78j(b)) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or §240.10b-5 of this chapter.
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Regulation S-K Item 10(e) – Use of non-GAAP financial measures in Commission filings

(e) Use of non-GAAP financial measures in Commission filings. (1)
Whenever one or more non-GAAP financial measures are included in a filing with the Commission:

(i) The registrant must include the following in the filing:
   (A) A presentation, with equal or greater prominence, of the most directly comparable financial measure or measures calculated and presented in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP);
   (B) A reconciliation (by schedule or other clearly understandable method), which shall be quantitative for historical non-GAAP measures presented, and quantitative, to the extent available without unreasonable efforts, for forward-looking information, of the differences between the non-GAAP financial measures disclosed or released with the most directly comparable financial measure or measures calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP identified in paragraph (e)(1)(i)(A) of this section;
   (C) A statement disclosing the reasons why the registrant’s management believes that presentation of the non-GAAP financial measure provides useful information to investors regarding the registrant’s financial condition and results of operations; and
   (D) To the extent material, a statement disclosing the additional purposes, if any, for which the registrant’s management uses the non-GAAP financial measure that are not disclosed pursuant to paragraph (e)(1)(i)(C) of this section; and

(ii) A registrant must not:
   (A) Exclude charges or liabilities that required, or will require, cash settlement, or would have required cash settlement absent an ability to settle in another manner, from non-GAAP liquidity measures, other than the measures earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) and earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA);
   (B) Adjust a non-GAAP performance measure to eliminate or smooth items identified as non-recurring, infrequent or unusual, when the nature of the charge or gain is such that it is reasonably likely to recur within two years or there was a similar charge or gain within the prior two years;
   (C) Present non-GAAP financial measures on the face of the registrant’s financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP or in the accompanying notes;
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(D) Present non-GAAP financial measures on the face of any pro forma financial information required to be disclosed by Article 11 of Regulation S-X (17 CFR 210.11-01 through 210.11-03); or

(E) Use titles or descriptions of non-GAAP financial measures that are the same as, or confusingly similar to, titles or descriptions used for GAAP financial measures; and

(iii) If the filing is not an annual report on Form 10-K or Form 20-F (17 CFR 249.220f), a registrant need not include the information required by paragraphs (e)(1)(i)(C) and (e)(1)(i)(D) of this section if that information was included in its most recent annual report on Form 10-K or Form 20-F or a more recent filing, provided that the required information is updated to the extent necessary to meet the requirements of paragraphs (e)(1)(i)(C) and (e)(1)(i)(D) of this section at the time of the registrant’s current filing.

(2) For purposes of this paragraph (e), a non-GAAP financial measure is a numerical measure of a registrant’s historical or future financial performance, financial position or cash flows that:

(i) Excludes amounts, or is subject to adjustments that have the effect of excluding amounts, that are included in the most directly comparable measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP in the statement of income, balance sheet or statement of cash flows (or equivalent statements) of the issuer; or

(ii) Includes amounts, or is subject to adjustments that have the effect of including amounts, that are excluded from the most directly comparable measure so calculated and presented.

(3) For purposes of this paragraph (e), GAAP refers to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, except that:

(i) In the case of foreign private issuers whose primary financial statements are prepared in accordance with non-U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, GAAP refers to the principles under which those primary financial statements are prepared; and

(ii) In the case of foreign private issuers that include a non-GAAP financial measure derived from or based on a measure calculated in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, GAAP refers to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for purposes of the application of the requirements of this paragraph (e) to the disclosure of that measure.

(4) For purposes of this paragraph (e), non-GAAP financial measures exclude:

(i) Operating and other statistical measures; and

(ii) Ratios or statistical measures calculated using exclusively one or both of:

(A) Financial measures calculated in accordance with GAAP; and

(B) Operating measures or other measures that are not non-GAAP financial measures.
(5) For purposes of this paragraph (e), non-GAAP financial measures exclude financial measures required to be disclosed by GAAP, Commission rules, or a system of regulation of a government or governmental authority or self-regulatory organization that is applicable to the registrant. However, the financial measure should be presented outside of the financial statements unless the financial measure is required or expressly permitted by the standard-setter that is responsible for establishing the GAAP used in such financial statements.

(6) The requirements of paragraph (e) of this section shall not apply to a non-GAAP financial measure included in disclosure relating to a proposed business combination, the entity resulting therefrom or an entity that is a party thereto, if the disclosure is contained in a communication that is subject to §230.425 of this chapter, §240.14a-12 or §240.14d-2(b)(2) of this chapter or §229.1015 of this chapter.

(7) The requirements of paragraph (e) of this section shall not apply to investment companies registered under section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-8).

Note to paragraph (e):
A non-GAAP financial measure that would otherwise be prohibited by paragraph (e)(1)(ii) of this section is permitted in a filing of a foreign private issuer if:

1. The non-GAAP financial measure relates to the GAAP used in the registrant’s primary financial statements included in its filing with the Commission;
2. The non-GAAP financial measure is required or expressly permitted by the standard-setter that is responsible for establishing the GAAP used in such financial statements; and
3. The non-GAAP financial measure is included in the annual report prepared by the registrant for use in the jurisdiction in which it is domiciled, incorporated or organized or for distribution to its security holders.
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Instruction 2 to Item 2.02 – The requirements of paragraph (e)(1)(i) of Item 10 of Regulation S-K (17 CFR 229.10(e)(1)(i)) shall apply to disclosures under this Item 2.02

Instructions.

1. [...] 
2. The requirements of paragraph (e)(1)(i) of Item 10 of Regulation S-K (17 CFR 229.10(e)(1)(i)) shall apply to disclosures under this Item 2.02.

(e)(1)(i) of Item 10 of Regulation S-K:

(e) Use of non-GAAP financial measures in Commission filings. (1) Whenever one or more non-GAAP financial measures are included in a filing with the Commission:

(i) The registrant must include the following in the filing:

(A) A presentation, with equal or greater prominence, of the most directly comparable financial measure or measures calculated and presented in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP);

(B) A reconciliation (by schedule or other clearly understandable method), which shall be quantitative for historical non-GAAP measures presented, and quantitative, to the extent available without unreasonable efforts, for forward-looking information, of the differences between the non-GAAP financial measure disclosed or released with the most directly comparable financial measure or measures calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP identified in paragraph (e)(1)(i)(A) of this section;

(C) A statement disclosing the reasons why the registrant’s management believes that presentation of the non-GAAP financial measure provides useful information to investors regarding the registrant’s financial condition and results of operations; and

(D) To the extent material, a statement disclosing the additional purposes, if any, for which the registrant’s management uses the non-GAAP financial measure that are not disclosed pursuant to paragraph (e)(1)(i)(C) of this section.
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Compliance & Disclosure Interpretations – Non-GAAP Financial Measures

**Last Update: October 17, 2017**

These Compliance & Disclosure Interpretations ("C&DI") comprise the Division’s interpretations of the rules and regulations on the use of non-GAAP financial measures. The bracketed date following each C&DI is the latest date of publication or revision.

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS OF GENERAL APPLICABILITY**

**Section 100. General**

**Question 100.01**

**Question:** Can certain adjustments, although not explicitly prohibited, result in a non-GAAP measure that is misleading?

**Answer:** Yes. Certain adjustments may violate Rule 100(b) of Regulation G because they cause the presentation of the non-GAAP measure to be misleading. For example, presenting a performance measure that excludes normal, recurring, cash operating expenses necessary to operate a registrant’s business could be misleading.  [May 17, 2016]

**Question 100.02**

**Question:** Can a non-GAAP measure be misleading if it is presented inconsistently between periods?

**Answer:** Yes. For example, a non-GAAP measure that adjusts a particular charge or gain in the current period and for which other, similar charges or gains were not also adjusted in prior periods could violate Rule 100(b) of Regulation G unless the change between periods is disclosed and the reasons for it explained. In addition, depending on the significance of the change, it may be necessary to recast prior measures to conform to the current presentation and place the disclosure in the appropriate context. [May 17, 2016]

**Question 100.03**

**Question:** Can a non-GAAP measure be misleading if the measure excludes charges, but does not exclude any gains?

**Answer:** Yes. For example, a non-GAAP measure that is adjusted only for non-recurring charges when there were non-recurring gains that occurred during the same period could violate Rule 100(b) of Regulation G. [May 17, 2016]
**Question 100.04**

**Question:** A registrant presents a non-GAAP performance measure that is adjusted to accelerate revenue recognized ratably over time in accordance with GAAP as though it earned revenue when customers are billed. Can this measure be presented in documents filed or furnished with the Commission or provided elsewhere, such as on company websites?

**Answer:** No. Non-GAAP measures that substitute individually tailored revenue recognition and measurement methods for those of GAAP could violate Rule 100(b) of Regulation G. Other measures that use individually tailored recognition and measurement methods for financial statement line items other than revenue may also violate Rule 100(b) of Regulation G. [May 17, 2016]

**Section 101. Business Combination Transactions**

**Question 101.01**

**Question:** Are financial measures included in forecasts provided to a financial advisor and used in connection with a business combination transaction non-GAAP financial measures?

**Answer:** No, if the conditions described below are met.

Item 10(e)(5) of Regulation S-K and Rule 101(a)(3) of Regulation G provide that a non-GAAP financial measure does not include financial measures required to be disclosed by GAAP, Commission rules, or a system of regulation of a government or governmental authority or self-regulatory organization that is applicable to the registrant. Accordingly, financial measures provided to a financial advisor would be excluded from the definition of non-GAAP financial measures, and therefore not subject to Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K and Regulation G, if and to the extent:

1. the financial measures are included in forecasts provided to the financial advisor for the purpose of rendering an opinion that is materially related to the business combination transaction; and
2. the forecasts are being disclosed in order to comply with Item 1015 of Regulation M-A or requirements under state or foreign law, including case law, regarding disclosure of the financial advisor’s analyses or substantive work. [Oct. 17, 2017]

**Question 101.02**

**Question:** Does the exemption from Regulation G and Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K for non-GAAP financial measures disclosed in communications relating to a business combination transaction extend to the same non-GAAP financial measures disclosed in registration statements, proxy statements and tender offer statements?

**Answer:** No. There is an exemption from Regulation G and Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K for non-GAAP financial measures disclosed in communications subject to Securities Act Rule 425 and Exchange Act Rules 14a-12 and 14d-2(b)(2); it is also intended to apply to communications subject to Exchange Act Rule 14d-9(a)(2). This exemption does not extend beyond such communications. Consequently, if the same non-GAAP financial measure that was included in a communication filed under one of those rules is also disclosed in a Securities
Act registration statement, proxy statement, or tender offer statement, this exemption from Regulation G and Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K would not be available for that non-GAAP financial measure. [Oct. 17, 2017]

**Question 101.03**

**Question:** If reconciliation of a non-GAAP financial measure is required and the most directly comparable measure is a "pro forma" measure prepared and presented in accordance with Article 11 of Regulation S-X, may companies use that measure for reconciliation purposes, in lieu of a GAAP financial measure?

**Answer:** Yes. [Jan. 11, 2010]

**Section 102. Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K**

**Question 102.01**

**Question:** What measure was contemplated by "funds from operations" in footnote 50 to Exchange Act Release No. 47226, Conditions for Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures, which indicates that companies may use "funds from operations per share" in earnings releases and materials that are filed or furnished to the Commission, subject to the requirements of Regulation G and Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K?

**Answer:** The reference to "funds from operations" in footnote 50, or “FFO,” refers to the measure defined as of January 1, 2000, by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT). NAREIT has revised and clarified the definition since 2000. The staff accepts NAREIT’s definition of FFO in effect as of May 17, 2016 as a performance measure and does not object to its presentation on a per share basis. [May 17, 2016]

**Question 102.02**

**Question:** May a registrant present FFO on a basis other than as defined by NAREIT as of May 17, 2016?

**Answer:** Yes, provided that any adjustments made to FFO comply with Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K and the measure does not violate Rule 100(b) of Regulation G. Any adjustments made to FFO must comply with the requirements of Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K for a performance measure or a liquidity measure, depending on the nature of the adjustments, some of which may trigger the prohibition on presenting this measure on a per share basis. See Section 100 and Question 102.05. [May 17, 2016]

**Question 102.03**

**Question:** Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K prohibits adjusting a non-GAAP financial performance measure to eliminate or smooth items identified as non-recurring, infrequent or unusual when the nature of the charge or gain is such that it is reasonably likely to recur within two years or there was a similar charge or gain within the prior two years. Is this prohibition based on the description of the charge or gain, or is it based on the nature of the charge or gain?

**Answer:** The prohibition is based on the description of the charge or gain that is being adjusted. It would not be appropriate to state that a charge or gain is non-recurring, infrequent or unusual unless it meets the specified criteria. The fact that a registrant cannot describe a charge or gain as non-
recurring, infrequent or unusual, however, does not mean that the registrant cannot adjust for that charge or gain. Registrants can make adjustments they believe are appropriate, subject to Regulation G and the other requirements of Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K. See Question 100.01. [May 17, 2016]

**Question 102.04**

**Question:** Is the registrant required to use the non-GAAP measure in managing its business or for other purposes in order to be able to disclose it?

**Answer:** No. Item 10(e)(1)(i)(D) of Regulation S-K states only that, "[t]o the extent material," there should be a statement disclosing the additional purposes, "if any," for which the registrant’s management uses the non-GAAP financial measure. There is no prohibition against disclosing a non-GAAP financial measure that is not used by management in managing its business. [Jan. 11, 2010]

**Question 102.05**

**Question:** While Item 10(e)(1)(ii) of Regulation S-K does not prohibit the use of per share non-GAAP financial measures, the adopting release for Item 10(e), Exchange Act Release No. 47226, states that "per share measures that are prohibited specifically under GAAP or Commission rules continue to be prohibited in materials filed with or furnished to the Commission." In light of Commission guidance, specifically Accounting Series Release No. 142, Reporting Cash Flow and Other Related Data, and Accounting Standards Codification 230, are non-GAAP earnings per share numbers prohibited in documents filed or furnished with the Commission?

**Answer:** No. Item 10(e) recognizes that certain non-GAAP per share performance measures may be meaningful from an operating standpoint. Non-GAAP per share performance measures should be reconciled to GAAP earnings per share. On the other hand, non-GAAP liquidity measures that measure cash generated must not be presented on a per share basis in documents filed or furnished with the Commission, consistent with Accounting Series Release No. 142. Whether per share data is prohibited depends on whether the non-GAAP measure can be used as a liquidity measure, even if management presents it solely as a performance measure. When analyzing these questions, the staff will focus on the substance of the non-GAAP measure and not management’s characterization of the measure. [May 17, 2016]

**Question 102.06**

**Question:** Is Item 10(e)(1)(i) of Regulation S-K, which requires the prominent presentation of, and reconciliation to, the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure or measures, intended to change the staff’s practice of requiring the prominent presentation of amounts for the three major categories of the statement of cash flows when a non-GAAP liquidity measure is presented?

**Answer:** No. The requirements in Item 10(e)(1)(i) are consistent with the staff’s practice. The three major categories of the statement of cash flows should be presented when a non-GAAP liquidity measure is presented. [Jan. 11, 2010]
Question 102.07

Question: Some companies present a measure of “free cash flow,” which is typically calculated as cash flows from operating activities as presented in the statement of cash flows under GAAP, less capital expenditures. Does Item 10(e)(1)(ii) of Regulation S-K prohibit this measure in documents filed with the Commission?

Answer: No. The deduction of capital expenditures from the GAAP financial measure of cash flows from operating activities would not violate the prohibitions in Item 10(e)(1)(ii). However, companies should be aware that this measure does not have a uniform definition and its title does not describe how it is calculated. Accordingly, a clear description of how this measure is calculated, as well as the necessary reconciliation, should accompany the measure where it is used. Companies should also avoid inappropriate or potentially misleading inferences about its usefulness. For example, “free cash flow” should not be used in a manner that inappropriately implies that the measure represents the residual cash flow available for discretionary expenditures, since many companies have mandatory debt service requirements or other non-discretionary expenditures that are not deducted from the measure. Also, free cash flow is a liquidity measure that must not be presented on a per share basis. See Question 102.05.

[May 17, 2016]

Question 102.08

Question: Does Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K apply to filed free writing prospectuses?

Answer: Regulation S-K applies to registration statements filed under the Securities Act, as well as registration statements, periodic and current reports and other documents filed under the Exchange Act. A free writing prospectus is not filed as part of the issuer’s registration statement, unless the issuer files it on Form 8-K or otherwise includes it or incorporates it by reference into the registration statement. Therefore, Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K does not apply to a filed free writing prospectus unless the free writing prospectus is included in or incorporated by reference into the issuer’s registration statement or included in an Exchange Act filing. [Jan. 11, 2010]

Question 102.09

Question: Item 10(e)(1)(ii)(A) of Regulation S-K prohibits “excluding charges or liabilities that required, or will require, cash settlement, or would have required cash settlement absent an ability to settle in another manner, from non-GAAP liquidity measures, other than the measures earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) and earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA).” A company’s credit agreement contains a material covenant regarding the non-GAAP financial measure “Adjusted EBITDA.” If disclosed in a filing, the non-GAAP financial measure “Adjusted EBITDA” would violate Item 10(e), as it excludes charges that are required to be cash settled. May a company nonetheless disclose this non-GAAP financial measure?

Answer: Yes. The prohibition in Item 10(e) notwithstanding, because MD&A requires disclosure of material items affecting liquidity, if management believes that the credit agreement is a material agreement, that the covenant is a material term of the credit agreement and that information about the covenant is material to an investor’s understanding of the company’s
financial condition and/or liquidity, then the company may be required to
disclose the measure as calculated by the debt covenant as part of its
MD&A. In disclosing the non-GAAP financial measure in this situation, a
company should consider also disclosing the following:

— the material terms of the credit agreement including the covenant;
— the amount or limit required for compliance with the covenant; and
— the actual or reasonably likely effects of compliance or non-compliance
  with the covenant on the company’s financial condition and liquidity.

[Jan. 11, 2010]

**Question 102.10**

Question: Item 10(e)(1)(i)(A) of Regulation S-K requires that when a registrant
presents a non-GAAP measure it must present the most directly comparable
GAAP measure with equal or greater prominence. This requirement applies
to non-GAAP measures presented in documents filed with the Commission
and also earnings releases furnished under Item 2.02 of Form 8-K. Are there
eamples of disclosures that would cause a non-GAAP measure to be more
prominent?

Answer: Yes. Although whether a non-GAAP measure is more prominent
than the comparable GAAP measure generally depends on the facts and
circumstances in which the disclosure is made, the staff would consider the
following examples of disclosure of non-GAAP measures as more prominent:

— Presenting a full income statement of non-GAAP measures or presenting
  a full non-GAAP income statement when reconciling non-GAAP
  measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measures;
— Omitting comparable GAAP measures from an earnings release headline
  or caption that includes non-GAAP
  measures;
— Presenting a non-GAAP measure using a style of presentation (e.g., bold,
larger font) that emphasizes the non-GAAP measure over the comparable
GAAP measure;
— A non-GAAP measure that precedes the most directly comparable GAAP
  measure (including in an earnings release headline or caption);
— Describing a non-GAAP measure as, for example, “record performance”
or “exceptional” without at least an equally prominent descriptive
  characterization of the comparable GAAP measure;
— Providing tabular disclosure of non-GAAP financial measures without
  preceding it with an equally prominent tabular disclosure of the
  comparable GAAP measures or including the comparable GAAP
  measures in the same table;
— Excluding a quantitative reconciliation with respect to a forward-looking
  non-GAAP measure in reliance on the “unreasonable efforts” exception
  in Item 10(e)(1)(i)(B) without disclosing that fact and identifying the
  information that is unavailable and its probable significance in a location
  of equal or greater prominence; and
— Providing discussion and analysis of a non-GAAP measure without a
  similar discussion and analysis of the comparable GAAP measure in a
  location with equal or greater prominence. [May 17, 2016]
**Question 102.11**

**Question:** How should income tax effects related to adjustments to arrive at a non-GAAP measure be calculated and presented?

**Answer:** A registrant should provide income tax effects on its non-GAAP measures depending on the nature of the measures. If a measure is a liquidity measure that includes income taxes, it might be acceptable to adjust GAAP taxes to show taxes paid in cash. If a measure is a performance measure, the registrant should include current and deferred income tax expense commensurate with the non-GAAP measure of profitability. In addition, adjustments to arrive at a non-GAAP measure should not be presented “net of tax.” Rather, income taxes should be shown as a separate adjustment and clearly explained. [May 17, 2016]

**Question 102.12**

**Question:** A registrant discloses a financial measure or information that is not in accordance with GAAP or calculated exclusively from amounts presented in accordance with GAAP. In some circumstances, this financial information may have been prepared in accordance with guidance published by a government, governmental authority or self-regulatory organization that is applicable to the registrant, although the information is not required disclosure by the government, governmental authority or self-regulatory organization. Is this information considered to be a “non-GAAP financial measure” for purposes of Regulation G and Item 10 of Regulation S-K?

**Answer:** Yes. Unless this information is required to be disclosed by a system of regulation that is applicable to the registrant, it is considered to be a “non-GAAP financial measure” under Regulation G and Item 10 of Regulation S-K. Registrants that disclose such information must provide the disclosures required by Regulation G or Item 10 of Regulation S-K, if applicable, including the quantitative reconciliation from the non-GAAP financial measure to the most comparable measure calculated in accordance with GAAP. This reconciliation should be in sufficient detail to allow a reader to understand the nature of the reconciling items. [Apr. 24, 2009]

**Section 103. EBIT and EBITDA**

**Question 103.01**

**Question:** Exchange Act Release No. 47226 describes EBIT as “earnings before interest and taxes” and EBITDA as “earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization.” What GAAP measure is intended by the term “earnings”? May measures other than those described in the release be characterized as “EBIT” or “EBITDA”? Does the exception for EBIT and EBITDA from the prohibition in Item 10(e)(1)(ii)(A) of Regulation S-K apply to these other measures?

**Answer:** “Earnings” means net income as presented in the statement of operations under GAAP. Measures that are calculated differently than those described as EBIT and EBITDA in Exchange Act Release No. 47226 should not be characterized as “EBIT” or “EBITDA” and their titles should be distinguished from “EBIT” or “EBITDA,” such as “Adjusted EBITDA.” These measures are not exempt from the prohibition in Item 10(e)(1)(ii)(A) of Regulation S-K, with the exception of measures addressed in Question 102.09. [Jan. 11, 2010]
**Question 103.02**

**Question:** If EBIT or EBITDA is presented as a performance measure, to which GAAP financial measure should it be reconciled?

**Answer:** If a company presents EBIT or EBITDA as a performance measure, such measures should be reconciled to net income as presented in the statement of operations under GAAP. Operating income would not be considered the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure because EBIT and EBITDA make adjustments for items that are not included in operating income. In addition, these measures must not be presented on a per share basis. See Question 102.05. [May 17, 2016]

**Section 104. Segment Information**

**Question 104.01**

**Question:** Is segment information that is presented in conformity with Accounting Standards Codification 280, pursuant to which a company may determine segment profitability on a basis that differs from the amounts in the consolidated financial statements determined in accordance with GAAP, considered to be a non-GAAP financial measure under Regulation G and Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K?

**Answer:** No. Non-GAAP financial measures do not include financial measures that are required to be disclosed by GAAP. Exchange Act Release No. 47226 lists "measures of profit or loss and total assets for each segment required to be disclosed in accordance with GAAP" as examples of such measures. The measure of segment profit or loss and segment total assets under Accounting Standards Codification 280 is the measure reported to the chief operating decision maker for purposes of making decisions about allocating resources to the segment and assessing its performance.

The list of examples in Exchange Act Release No. 47226 is not exclusive. As an additional example, because Accounting Standards Codification 280 requires or expressly permits the footnotes to the company’s consolidated financial statements to include specific additional financial information for each segment, that information also would be excluded from the definition of non-GAAP financial measures. [Jan. 11, 2010]

**Question 104.02**

**Question:** Does Item 10(e)(1)(ii) of Regulation S-K prohibit the discussion in MD&A of segment information determined in conformity with Accounting Standards Codification 280?

**Answer:** No. Where a company includes in its MD&A a discussion of segment profitability determined consistent with Accounting Standards Codification 280, which also requires that a footnote to the company’s consolidated financial statements provide a reconciliation, the company also should include in the segment discussion in the MD&A a complete discussion of the reconciling items that apply to the particular segment being discussed. In this regard, see Financial Reporting Codification Section 501.06.a, footnote 28. [Jan. 11, 2010]
Question 104.03

**Question:** Is a measure of segment profit/loss or liquidity that is not in conformity with Accounting Standards Codification 280 a non-GAAP financial measure under Regulation G and Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K?

**Answer:** Yes. Segment measures that are adjusted to include amounts excluded from, or to exclude amounts included in, the measure reported to the chief operating decision maker for purposes of making decisions about allocating resources to the segment and assessing its performance do not comply with Accounting Standards Codification 280. Such measures are, therefore, non-GAAP financial measures and subject to all of the provisions of Regulation G and Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K. [Jan. 11, 2010]

Question 104.04

**Question:** In the footnote that reconciles the segment measures to the consolidated financial statements, a company may total the profit or loss for the individual segments as part of the Accounting Standards Codification 280 required reconciliation. Would the presentation of the total segment profit or loss measure in any context other than the Accounting Standards Codification 280 required reconciliation in the footnote be the presentation of a non-GAAP financial measure?

**Answer:** Yes. The presentation of the total segment profit or loss measure in any context other than the Accounting Standards Codification 280 required reconciliation in the footnote would be the presentation of a non-GAAP financial measure because it has no authoritative meaning outside of the Accounting Standards Codification 280 required reconciliation in the footnotes to the company’s consolidated financial statements. [Jan. 11, 2010]

Question 104.05

**Question:** Company X presents a table illustrating a breakdown of revenues by certain products, but does not sum this to the revenue amount presented on Company X’s financial statements. Is the information in the table considered a non-GAAP financial measure under Regulation G and Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K?

**Answer:** No, assuming the product revenue amounts are calculated in accordance with GAAP. The presentation would be considered a non-GAAP financial measure, however, if the revenue amounts are adjusted in any manner. [Jan. 11, 2010]

Question 104.06

**Question:** Company X has operations in various foreign countries where the local currency is used to prepare the financial statements which are translated into the reporting currency under the applicable accounting standards. In preparing its MD&A, Company X will explain the reasons for changes in various financial statement captions. A portion of these changes will be attributable to changes in exchange rates between periods used for translation. Company X wants to isolate the effect of exchange rate differences and will present financial information in a constant currency — e.g., assume a constant exchange rate between periods for translation. Would such a presentation be considered a non-GAAP measure under Regulation G and Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K?
**Answer:** Yes. Company X may comply with the reconciliation requirements of Regulation G and Item 10(e) by presenting the historical amounts and the amounts in constant currency and describing the process for calculating the constant currency amounts and the basis of presentation. [Jan. 11, 2010]

**Section 105. Item 2.02 of Form 8-K**

**Question 105.01**

**Question:** Item 2.02 of Form 8-K contains a conditional exemption from its requirement to furnish a Form 8-K where earnings information is presented orally, telephonically, by webcast, by broadcast or by similar means. Among other conditions, the company must provide on its web site any financial and other statistical information contained in the presentation, together with any information that would be required by Regulation G. Would an audio file of the initial webcast satisfy this condition to the exemption?

**Answer:** Yes, provided that: (1) the audio file contains all material financial and other statistical information included in the presentation that was not previously disclosed, and (2) investors can access it and replay it through the company’s web site. Alternatively, slides or a similar presentation posted on the web site at the time of the presentation containing the required, previously undisclosed, material financial and other statistical information would satisfy the condition. In each case, the company must provide all previously undisclosed material financial and other statistical information, including information provided in connection with any questions and answers. Regulation FD also may impose disclosure requirements in these circumstances. [Jan. 11, 2010]

**Question 105.02**

**Question:** Item 2.02 of Form 8-K contains a conditional exemption from its requirement to furnish a Form 8-K where earnings information is presented orally, telephonically, by webcast, by broadcast or by similar means. Among other conditions, the company must provide on its web site any material financial and other statistical information not previously disclosed and contained in the presentation, together with any information that would be required by Regulation G. When must all of this information appear on the company’s web site?

**Answer:** The required information must appear on the company’s web site at the time the oral presentation is made. In the case of information that is not provided in a presentation itself but, rather, is disclosed unexpectedly in connection with the question and answer session that was part of that oral presentation, the information must be posted on the company’s web site promptly after it is disclosed. Any requirements of Regulation FD also must be satisfied. A webcast of the oral presentation would be sufficient to meet this requirement. [Jan. 11, 2010]

**Question 105.03**

**Question:** Does a company’s failure to furnish to the Commission the Form 8-K required by Item 2.02 in a timely manner affect the company’s eligibility to use Form S-3?

**Answer:** No. Form S-3 requires the company to have filed in “a timely manner all reports required to be filed in twelve calendar months and any portion of a month immediately preceding the filing of the registration
statement." Because an Item 2.02 Form 8-K is furnished to the Commission, rather than filed with the Commission, failure to furnish such a Form 8-K in a timely manner would not affect a company's eligibility to use Form S-3. While not affecting a company's Form S-3 eligibility, failure to comply with Item 2.02 of Form 8-K would, of course, be a violation of Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act and the rules thereunder. [Jan. 11, 2010]

**Question 105.04 [withdrawn]**

**Question 105.05**

**Question:** Company X files its quarterly earnings release as an exhibit to its Form 10-Q on Wednesday morning, prior to holding its earnings conference call Wednesday afternoon. Assuming that all of the other conditions of Item 2.02(b) are met, may the company rely on the exemption for its conference call even if it does not also furnish the earnings release in an Item 2.02 Form 8-K?

**Answer:** Yes. Company X's filing of the earnings release as an exhibit to its Form 10-Q, rather than in an Item 2.02 Form 8-K, before the conference call takes place, would not preclude reliance on the exemption for the conference call. [Jan. 11, 2010]

**Question 105.06**

**Question:** Company A issues a press release announcing its results of operations for a just-completed fiscal quarter, including its expected adjusted earnings (a non-GAAP financial measure) for the fiscal period. Would this press release be subject to Item 2.02 of Form 8-K?

**Answer:** Yes, because it contains material, non-public information regarding its results of operations for a completed fiscal period. The adjusted earnings range presented would be subject to the requirements of Item 2.02 applicable to non-GAAP financial measures. [Jan. 11, 2010]

**Question 105.07**

**Question:** A company issues its earnings release after the close of the market and holds a properly noticed conference call to discuss its earnings two hours later. That conference call contains material, previously undisclosed, information of the type described under Item 2.02 of Form 8-K. Because of this timing, the company is unable to furnish its earnings release on a Form 8-K before its conference call. Accordingly, the company cannot rely on the exemption from the requirement to furnish the information in the conference call on a Form 8-K.

What must the company file with regard to its conference call?

**Answer:** The company must furnish the material, previously non-public, financial and other statistical information required to be furnished on Item 2.02 of Form 8-K as an exhibit to a Form 8-K and satisfy the other requirements of Item 2.02 of Form 8-K. A transcript of the portion of the conference call or slides or a similar presentation including such information will satisfy this requirement. In each case, all material, previously undisclosed, financial and other statistical information, including that provided in connection with any questions and answers, must be provided. [Jan. 15, 2010]
**Section 106. Foreign Private Issuers**

**Question 106.01**

**Question:** The Note to Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K permits a foreign private issuer to include in its filings a non-GAAP financial measure that otherwise would be prohibited by Item 10(e)(1)(ii) if, among other things, the non-GAAP financial measure is required or expressly permitted by the standard setter that is responsible for establishing the GAAP used in the company’s primary financial statements included in its filing with the Commission. What does “expressly permitted” mean?

**Answer:** A measure is “expressly permitted” if the particular measure is clearly and specifically identified as an acceptable measure by the standard setter that is responsible for establishing the GAAP used in the company’s primary financial statements included in its filing with the Commission.

The concept of “expressly permitted” can be also be demonstrated with explicit acceptance of a presentation by the primary securities regulator in the foreign private issuer’s home country jurisdiction or market. Explicit acceptance by the regulator would include (1) published views of the regulator or members of the regulator’s staff or (2) a letter from the regulator or its staff to the foreign private issuer indicating the acceptance of the presentation — which would be provided to the Commission’s staff upon request. [Jan. 11, 2010]

**Question 106.02**

**Question:** A foreign private issuer furnishes a press release on Form 6-K that includes a section with non-GAAP financial measures. Can a foreign private issuer incorporate by reference into a Securities Act registration statement only those portions of the furnished press release that do not include the non-GAAP financial measures?

**Answer:** Yes. Reports on Form 6-K are not incorporated by reference automatically into Securities Act registration statements. In order to incorporate a Form 6-K into a Securities Act registration statement, a foreign private issuer must specifically provide for such incorporation by reference in the registration statement and in any subsequently submitted Form 6-K. See Item 6(c) of Form F-3. Where a foreign private issuer wishes to incorporate by reference a portion or portions of the press release provided on a Form 6-K, the foreign private issuer should either: (1) specify in the Form 6-K those portions of the press release to be incorporated by reference, or (2) furnish two Form 6-K reports, one that contains the full press release and another that contains the portions that would be incorporated by reference (and specifies that the second Form 6-K is so incorporated). Using a separate report on Form 6-K containing the portions that would be incorporated by reference may provide more clarity for investors in most circumstances. A company must also consider whether its disclosure is rendered misleading if it incorporates only a portion (or portions) of a press release. [Jan. 11, 2010]

**Question 106.03**

**Question:** A foreign private issuer publishes a non-GAAP financial measure that does not comply with Regulation G, in reliance on Rule 100(c), and then furnishes the information in a report on Form 6-K. Must the foreign private issuer comply with Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K with respect to that
information if the company chooses to incorporate that Form 6-K report into a filed Securities Act registration statement (other than an MJDS registration statement)?

**Answer:** Yes, the company must comply with all of the provisions of Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K. [Jan. 11, 2010]

**Question 106.04**

**Question:** If a Canadian company includes a non-GAAP financial measure in an annual report on Form 40-F, does the company need to comply with Regulation G or Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K with respect to that information if the company files a non-MJDS Securities Act registration statement that incorporates by reference the Form 40-F?

**Answer:** No. Information included in a Form 40-F is not subject to Regulation G or Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K. [Jan. 11, 2010]

**Section 107. Voluntary Filers**

**Question 107.01**

**Question:** Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act suspends automatically its application to any company that would be subject to the filing requirements of that section where, if other conditions are met, on the first day of the company’s fiscal year it has fewer than 300 holders of record of the class of securities that created the Section 15(d) obligation. This suspension, which relates to the fiscal year in which the fewer than 300 record holders determination is made on the first day thereof, is automatic and does not require any filing with the Commission. The Commission adopted Rule 15d-6 under the Exchange Act to require the filing of a Form 15 as a notice of the suspension of a company’s reporting obligation under Section 15(d). Such a filing, however, is not a condition to the suspension. A number of companies whose Section 15(d) reporting obligation is suspended automatically by the statute choose not to file the notice required by Rule 15d-6 and continue to file Exchange Act reports as though they continue to be required. Must a company whose reporting obligation is suspended automatically by Section 15(d) but continues to file periodic reports as though it were required to file periodic reports comply with Regulation G and the requirements of Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K?

**Answer:** Yes. Regulation S-K relates to filings with the Commission. Accordingly, a company that is making filings as described in this question must comply with Regulation S-K or Form 20-F, as applicable, in its filings.

As to other public communications, any company that has a class of securities registered under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or is required to file reports under Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 must comply with Regulation G. The application of this standard to those companies that no longer are “required” to report under Section 15(d) but choose to continue to report presents a difficult dilemma, as those companies technically are not subject to Regulation G but their continued filing is intended to and does give the appearance that they are a public company whose disclosure is subject to the Commission’s regulations. It is reasonable that this appearance would cause shareholders and other market participants to expect and rely on a company’s required compliance with the requirements of the federal securities laws applicable to companies...
reporting under Section 15(d). Accordingly, while Regulation G technically does not apply to a company such as the one described in this question, the failure of such a company to comply with all requirements (including Regulation G) applicable to a Section 15(d)-reporting company can raise significant issues regarding that company’s compliance with the anti-fraud provisions of the federal securities laws. [Jan. 11, 2010]

Section 108. Compensation Discussion and Analysis/Proxy Statement

Question 108.01

Question: Instruction 5 to Item 402(b) provides that "[d]isclosure of target levels that are non-GAAP financial measures will not be subject to Regulation G and Item 10(e); however, disclosure must be provided as to how the number is calculated from the registrant’s audited financial statements." Does this instruction extend to non-GAAP financial information that does not relate to the disclosure of target levels, but is nevertheless included in Compensation Discussion & Analysis ("CD&A") or other parts of the proxy statement – for example, to explain the relationship between pay and performance?

Answer: No. Instruction 5 to Item 402(b) is limited to CD&A disclosure of target levels that are non-GAAP financial measures. If non-GAAP financial measures are presented in CD&A or in any other part of the proxy statement for any other purpose, such as to explain the relationship between pay and performance or to justify certain levels or amounts of pay, then those non-GAAP financial measures are subject to the requirements of Regulation G and Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K.

In these pay-related circumstances only, the staff will not object if a registrant includes the required GAAP reconciliation and other information in an annex to the proxy statement, provided the registrant includes a prominent cross-reference to such annex. Or, if the non-GAAP financial measures are the same as those included in the Form 10-K that is incorporating by reference the proxy statement’s Item 402 disclosure as part of its Part III information, the staff will not object if the registrant complies with Regulation G and Item 10(e) by providing a prominent cross-reference to the pages in the Form 10-K containing the required GAAP reconciliation and other information. [July 8, 2011]
Detailed contents

Foreword

About this publication

1. Background
   1.1 What are non-GAAP measures?
   1.2 How are non-GAAP financial measures used?
   1.3 Where are non-GAAP financial measures presented?
   1.4 What measures meet the SEC’s definition of non-GAAP financial measures?
      1.4.10 Non-GAAP financial measures
      1.4.20 Operating and statistical measures
      Example 1.1: Revenue per available room
      1.4.30 Regulatory and statutory measures
      1.4.40 Segment information

2. The rules
   2.1 SEC developments over time
   2.2 Regulation G applies to public disclosures
   2.3 S-K Item 10(e) applies to filings with the SEC
   2.4 Instruction 2 to Item 2.02 applies to filed and furnished Form 8-Ks
   2.5 C&DIs provide guidance
   2.6 Scope of requirements
      2.6.10 Registered investment companies
      2.6.20 Exemption for proposed business combinations
      2.6.30 Compensation Discussion and Analysis
      2.6.40 Free writing prospectus
      2.6.50 Webcasts and other nonwritten communications
      2.6.60 Voluntary filers
   2.7 Legal considerations

3. The general requirements
   3.1 Overview
   3.2 Summary of requirements
   3.3 Not misleading
   3.4 Presentation of directly comparable GAAP measure
      3.4.10 Differences between liquidity and performance measures
      3.4.20 Corresponding prior year information
3.5 **Reconciliation with directly comparable GAAP measure**

3.5.10 Unreasonable efforts exception for forward-looking non-GAAP financial measures

Example 3.1: Example disclosure of unreasonable efforts exception for forward-looking non-GAAP financial measures

3.5.20 Labeling reconciling items

3.5.30 Most directly comparable GAAP measure is pro forma

3.5.40 Non-GAAP financial measure is used in a ratio

3.6 **Equal or greater prominence requirement**

3.6.10 Prominence

3.6.20 Full non-GAAP income statement

3.6.30 Repeated disclosure

3.7 **Required statements**

3.8 **Prohibitions**

3.8.10 Excluding items that require cash settlement from liquidity measure

3.8.20 Adjustments to GAAP earnings for nonrecurring, infrequent or unusual items

Example 3.2: Reasonably likely

3.8.30 Placement of non-GAAP financial measures

3.8.40 Use of titles or descriptions

3.8.50 Per-share measures

4. **Specific considerations**

4.1 **EBIT and EBITDA**

4.1.10 EBIT/EBITDA as a liquidity measure

4.1.20 EBIT/EBITDA as a performance measure

4.1.30 Disclosures related to material agreements

4.2 **Segment information**

4.2.10 Disclosures under Topic 280

Example 4.1: Presentation of segment profit or loss in the notes of the financial statements

4.2.20 Presentation outside of the financial statements

Example 4.2: Presentation of consolidated segment profit or loss in MD&A

Example 4.3: Presentation of product revenue in MD&A

4.3 **Free cash flow**

4.4 **Treatment of tax adjustments**

Example 4.4: Presentation of tax effect of adjustments

4.5 **Treatment of pension adjustments**
4.6 Constant currency presentation
4.7 Normalized market prices
4.8 System-wide revenues
4.9 REIT reporting
   4.9.10 Funds from Operations
   4.9.20 Pro rata information
   Example 4.5: REIT reporting of pro rata information
4.10 Finding cost per unit measures
   4.10.10 Calculating the ratio
   4.10.20 Status of proved reserves added
   4.10.30 Reasons for adding proved reserves

5. Earnings releases and similar announcements
   5.1 General requirements
   5.2 Exemption from requirement to furnish Item 2.02 Form 8-K
   5.3 Furnished Item 2.02 Form 8-K
   5.4 Filed Item 2.02 Form 8-K

6. Foreign private issuers
   6.1 Introduction
   6.2 Regulation G and a foreign private issuer
      6.2.10 Limited exemption from Regulation G
      6.2.20 Canadian registrants
   6.3 S-K Item 10(e) and a foreign private issuer
      6.3.10 Form 6-K
      6.3.20 Exemptions from S-K Item 10(e)
      6.3.30 Expressly permitted

7. Controls and non-GAAP financial measures
   7.1 Introduction
   7.2 Differences between ICFR and DCP
   7.3 Management’s responsibilities related to non-GAAP financial measures
   7.4 Operating metrics
   7.5 External auditor responsibility related to non-GAAP financial measures

Appendix 1: Regulation G – Conditions for Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures
Appendix 2: Regulation S-K Item 10(e) – Use of non-GAAP financial measures in Commission filings
Appendix 3: Instruction 2 to Item 2.02 – The requirements of paragraph (e)(1)(i)
of Item 10 of Regulation S-K (17 CFR 229.10(e)(1)(ii)) shall apply to disclosures under this Item 2.02

Appendix 4: Compliance & Disclosure Interpretations – Non-GAAP Financial Measures

KPMG Financial Reporting View

Acknowledgments
Insights for financial reporting professionals

As you evaluate the implications of new financial reporting standards on your company, KPMG Financial Reporting View is ready to inform your decision-making.

Visit kpmg.com/us/frv for news and analysis of significant decisions, proposals, and final standards and regulations.

FRV focuses on major new standards (including revenue recognition, leases and financial instruments) – and also covers existing US GAAP, IFRS, SEC matters, broad transactions and more.

kpmg.com/us/frv
Insights for financial reporting professionals
Acknowledgments

This Issues In-Depth has been produced by the Department of Professional Practice of KPMG LLP in the United States.

We would like to acknowledge the efforts of the main contributors to this publication:

Melanie F. Dolan
Jonathan Guthart
Julie Santoro
Neil Johnson Yuan